A NOTE ON SIERPINSKI NUMBERS

Anatoly S. Izotov

Mining Institute, Novosibirsk, Russia 7 Dostoevsky Str., Apt. 12, Novosibirsk, 630104, Russia (Submitted September 1993)

In [5], W. Sierpinski proved that there are infinitely many odd integers k (Sierpinski numbers) such that $k \cdot 2^n + 1$ is composite for all $n \ge 0$. In his proof, Sierpinski used as a covering set the set of primes {3, 5, 17, 257, 641, 65537, 6700417}. A "covering set" for any k means here a finite set of primes such that every integer $k \cdot 2^n + 1$, $n \ge 0$, is divisible by at least one of them. There are other covering sets (see [4], [6]). In 1962, J. L. Selfridge (unpublished manuscript) discovered that {3, 5, 7, 13, 19, 37, 73} is a covering set for 78557.

In this note, we prove that there are infinitely many Sierpinski numbers of the new kind. We find those k such that $k \cdot 2^n + 1$, for n of the form n = 4m + 2, has an easy algebraic decomposition while, for other n, we have the covering set $\{3, 17, 257, 641, 65537, 6700417\}$ from Sierpinski's set.

Theorem 1: Let the positive integer t be any solution of the system of congruences

 $\begin{cases} t \equiv 1 \pmod{2}, \\ t \equiv 1 \text{ or } 2 \pmod{3}, \\ t \equiv 0 \pmod{5}, \\ t \equiv 1, 4, 13, \text{ or } 16 \pmod{17}, \\ t \equiv 1, 256, 65281, \text{ or } 256 \pmod{257}, \\ t \equiv 1, 256, 65281, \text{ or } 65536 \pmod{65537}, \\ t \equiv 1, 65536, 6634881, \text{ or } 6700416 \pmod{6700417}, \\ t \equiv 256, 318, 323, \text{ or } 385 \pmod{641}. \end{cases}$

Then $k = t^4$ is a Sierpinski number.

Proof: By (1),

 $\begin{cases} k \equiv 1 \pmod{2}, \\ k \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, \\ k \equiv 0 \pmod{5}, \\ k \equiv 1 \pmod{57}, \\ k \equiv 1 \pmod{257}, \\ k \equiv 1 \pmod{65537}, \\ k \equiv 1 \pmod{6700417}, \\ k \equiv -1 \pmod{641}. \end{cases}$

So we have

 $k \cdot 2^{2m+1} + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{3},$ $k \cdot 2^{8m+4} + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{17},$ $k \cdot 2^{16m+8} + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{257},$

JUNE-JULY

(1)

(2)

206

$$k \cdot 2^{32m+16} + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{65537},$$

$$k \cdot 2^{64m+32} + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{6700417},$$

$$k \cdot 2^{64m} + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{641},$$

for $m \ge 0$. For n = 4m + 2, we have

$$k \cdot 2^{n} + 1 = t^{4} \cdot 2^{4m+2} + 1$$

= 4(t \cdot 2^{m})^{4} + 1
= (t^{2} \cdot 2^{2m+1} + t \cdot 2^{m+1} + 1)(t^{2} \cdot 2^{2m+1} - t \cdot 2^{m+1} + 1).

Since t > 1, $t^2 \cdot 2^{2m+1} - t \cdot 2^{m+1} + 1 > 1$ for $m \ge 0$. Therefore, $k \cdot 2^{4m+2} + 1$ is composite for all $m \ge 0$. Note that $k \cdot 2^{4m+2} + 1 \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$, so $\{3, 5, 17, 257, 641, 65537, 6700417\}$ is not a covering set for k.

Are there other Sierpinski numbers analogous to Theorem 1?

...

The problem of determining the least value k_0 of k such that $k \cdot 2^n + 1$ is always composite was posed by Sierpinski [5], again by Guy [2], and was considered in [1] and [3]. The least known k is Selfridge's k = 78557 with covering set $\{3, 5, 7, 13, 19, 37, 73\}$. Perhaps k_0 has no covering set.

REFERENCES

- 1. R. Baillie, G. Cormack, & H. C. Williams. "The Problem of Sierpinski Concerning $k \cdot 2^n + 1$." Math. Comput. 37 (1981):229-31; Corrig. Math. Comp. 39 (1982):308.
- 2. R. K. Guy. "Some Unsolved Problems." In Computers in Number Theory, pp. 415-22. Ed. A. O. L. Atkin and B. J. Brich. New York: Academic Press, 1971.
- 3. G. Jeaschke. "On the Smallest k Such That All $k \cdot 2^N + 1$ Are Composite." Math. Comput. 40.101 (1983):361-64.
- 4. J. L. Selfridge. "Solution to Problem 4995." Amer. Math. Monthly 70 (1963):101.
- 5. W. Sierpinski. "Sur un probleme concernant les nombres $k \cdot 2^n + 1$." Elem. Math. 15 (1960): 73-74; Corrig. 17 (1962):85.
- 6. R. G. Stanton. "Future Results on Covering Integers of the Form $1+k \cdot 2^N$ by Primes." Lecture Notes in Math. 884 (1980):107-14.

AMS Classification Numbers: 11B25, 11B83
