# ROOTS OF UNITY AND CIRCULAR SUBSETS WITHOUT CONSECUTIVE ELEMENTS

#### John Konvalina

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, University of Nebraska at Omaha, Omaha, NE 68182 (Submitted March, 1994)

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Recall that the  $n^{\text{th}}$  roots of unity are the roots of the polynomial  $x^n - 1$ . Also, they have a geometrical interpretation in terms of the vertices of a regular polygon with n sides inscribed in the unit circle. Now consider the polynomial of degree n with the property that each of its roots is the sum of an  $n^{\text{th}}$  root of unity and its square. That is, let  $U_n$  denote the set of  $n^{\text{th}}$  roots of unity and consider the polynomial

$$P_n(x) = \prod_{\zeta \in U_n} (x - (\zeta + \zeta^2)).$$

What are the coefficients of  $P_n(x)$ ? A priori the coefficients are complex numbers. However, we will show they are actually integers. In fact, we will prove the unexpected result that the absolute value of the coefficient of  $x^k$  has a combinatorial interpretation in terms of the number of k-subsets of n objects arranged in a circle with no two selected objects being consecutive. The sum of the coefficients is expressed in terms of Lucas numbers.

## 2. COMBINATORIAL IDENTITIES

Before proving the theorem, we will state some known combinatorial identities. We assume throughout the paper that n > 0. It is well known that the number of k-subsets without consecutive elements chosen from n objects arranged in a circle is (see Riordan [3], p. 198)

$$\frac{n}{n-k}\binom{n-k}{k}.$$

The generating function of this sequence has the following closed form:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor} \frac{n}{n-k} \binom{n-k}{k} x^k = \left(\frac{1+\sqrt{1+4x}}{2}\right)^n + \left(\frac{1-\sqrt{1+4x}}{2}\right)^n.$$
(1)

When x = -1 we obtain the following identity [since  $\frac{1}{2}(1 \pm \sqrt{-3})$  are sixth roots of unity]:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]} \frac{n}{n-k} \binom{n-k}{k} (-1)^k = \begin{cases} 2(-1)^n & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}, \\ (-1)^{n-1} & \text{if } n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}. \end{cases}$$
(2)

The following identity will also be used:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]} \binom{n-k}{k} (-1)^k = \frac{(-1)^{\left[\frac{n}{3}\right]} + (-1)^{\left[\frac{n+1}{3}\right]}}{2}.$$
(3)

[NOV.

412

References for these combinatorial identities include Graham, Knuth, & Patashnik [2], pp. 178-79 and 204, or Riordan [4], pp. 75-77, or Gould [1], Identities 1.64, 1.68, and 1.75.

#### **3. THE THEOREM**

. .

**Theorem:** The roots of the polynomial

$$P_{n}(x) = x^{n} + (-1)^{n} - \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \frac{n}{n-k} \binom{n-k}{k} x^{k}$$
(4)

are precisely the *n* complex numbers (not necessarily distinct) of the form  $\zeta + \zeta^2$ , where  $\zeta$  ranges over  $U_n$  the *n*<sup>th</sup> roots of unity. That is,

$$\prod_{\zeta \in U_n} (x - (\zeta + \zeta^2)) = x^n + (-1)^n - \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \frac{n}{n-k} \binom{n-k}{k} x^k.$$

**Proof:** Let  $\zeta$  denote any *n*<sup>th</sup> root of unity. Then  $x = \zeta + \zeta^2$  is a root of  $P_n(x)$  of and only if

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]} \frac{n}{n-k} \binom{n-k}{k} (\zeta + \zeta^2)^k = (\zeta + \zeta^2)^n + (-1)^n = (1+\zeta)^n + (-1)^n.$$
(5)

. .

But (5) follows immediately from (1) since  $1+4(\zeta+\zeta^2)=(2\zeta+1)^2$  and  $\zeta^n=1$ . Hence, if the *n* complex numbers,  $\zeta+\zeta^2$ , are distinct as  $\zeta$  ranges over  $U_n$ , then all roots of  $P_n(x)$  have been determined.

To complete the proof, we will show all the roots are distinct except when  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ . In that case, x = -1 will be a double root. To verify this, first observe that by (2) and (4) x = -1 is a root of  $P_n(x)$  if and only if  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ . Now the derivative of  $P_n(x)$  is

$$P'_{n}(x) = nx^{n-1} - n\sum_{k=0}^{\left\lfloor \frac{n-2}{2} \right\rfloor} {\binom{n-2-k}{k}} x^{k}.$$
 (6)

So x = -1 is a root of  $P'_n(x)$  if and only if

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\left\lfloor \frac{n-2}{2} \right\rfloor} \binom{n-2-k}{k} (-1)^k = (-1)^{n-1}.$$
 (7)

But, if  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ , then (7) follows immediately from (3) with *n* replaced by n-2 and noting that [since  $(-1)^{n/3} = (-1)^n$ ]

$$(-1)^{\left[\frac{n-2}{3}\right]} = (-1)^{\frac{n-3}{3}} = (-1)^{n-1}.$$

Thus, x = -1 is at least a double root of  $P_n(x)$  when  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ . Finally, we will show x = -1 is in fact a double root. First, however, a lemma to determine when the sum of two  $n^{\text{th}}$  roots of unity is equal to -1.

*Lemma:* Let  $\zeta_n$  denote the primitive  $n^{\text{th}}$  root of unity  $\cos \frac{2\pi}{n} + i \sin \frac{2\pi}{n}$ . Suppose  $0 \le j < k < n$ . Then, for some j and k,  $\zeta_n^j + \zeta_n^k = -1$  if and only if n = 3j and k = 2j.

**Proof:** If n = 3j and k = 2j, then  $\zeta_{3j}^j + \zeta_{3j}^{2j}$  is a sum of the primitive cube roots of unity and, hence, is equal to -1. Conversely, suppose the sum of the two  $n^{\text{th}}$  roots of unity is equal to -1. Equating real and imaginary parts, we obtain  $\cos \frac{2\pi}{n} j + \cos \frac{2\pi}{n} k = -1$  and  $\sin \frac{2\pi}{n} j + \sin \frac{2\pi}{n} k = 0$ . Now solve for  $\cos \frac{2\pi}{n} k$  and  $\sin \frac{2\pi}{n} k$ :

$$\cos\frac{2\pi}{n}k = -1 - \cos\frac{2\pi}{n}j \tag{8}$$

and

$$\sin\frac{2\pi}{n}k = -\sin\frac{2\pi}{n}j.$$
(9)

Next, square both sides of (8) and (9), then add to obtain  $\cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{n}j\right) = -\frac{1}{2}$ . Similarly, solving the original equations for  $\cos\frac{2\pi}{n}j$  and  $\sin\frac{2\pi}{n}j$ , we obtain  $\cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{n}k\right) = -\frac{1}{2}$ . Since  $0 \le j < k < n$ , we must have  $\frac{2\pi}{n}j = \frac{2\pi}{3}$  and  $\frac{2\pi}{n}k = \frac{4\pi}{3}$ . Hence, n = 3j and 3k = 2n. Therefore, n = 3j and k = 2j, and the lemma is proved.

Now we return to the proof of the theorem to determine when the roots of  $P_n(x)$  will not be distinct. Suppose  $0 \le j < k < n$  and two roots are the same. Then

$$\zeta_n^j + \zeta_n^{2j} = \zeta_n^k + \zeta_n^{2k} \tag{10}$$

Hence,  $\zeta_n^j - \zeta_n^k = \zeta_n^{2k} - \zeta_n^{2j} = (\zeta_n^k - \zeta_n^j)(\zeta_n^k + \zeta_n^j)$ . So we must have

$$\zeta_n^j + \zeta_n^k = -1. \tag{11}$$

Therefore, by the lemma,  $\zeta_n^j$  and  $\zeta_n^k$  are the primitive cube roots of unity. Since the square of one primitive cube root of unity is the other primitive cube root, the root x = -1 will occur exactly twice in  $\zeta + \zeta^2$  as  $\zeta$  ranges over the  $n^{\text{th}}$  roots of unity for  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ .

**Corollary:**  $P_n(1) = \begin{cases} -L_n & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \\ -L_n+2 & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \end{cases}$  where  $L_n$  is the  $n^{\text{th}}$  Lucas number.

**Proof:** It is well known that  $\sum_{k\geq 0} \frac{n}{n-k} \binom{n-k}{k} = L_n$ , where  $L_n$  is the  $n^{\text{th}}$  Lucas number.

## REFERENCES

- 1. H. W. Gould. Combinatorial Identities. Morgantown, WV, 1972.
- R. L. Graham, D. E. Knuth, & O. Patashnik. Concrete Mathematics: A Foundation for Computer Science. Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley, 1989.
- 3. J. Riordan. An Introduction to Combinatorial Analysis. New York: Wiley, 1958.
- 4. J. Riordan. Combinatorial Identities. New York: Wiley, 1968.

AMS Classification Number: 05A15

414