

REFERENCES

1. C. Ciamberlini & A. Marengoni. "Su una interessante curiosità numerica." *Periodiche di Matematiche* 17 (1937):25-30.
2. A. Ehrlich. "On the Periods of the Fibonacci Sequence Modulo M ." *The Fibonacci Quarterly* 27.1 (1989):11-13.
3. A. Ehrlich. "Periods in Ducci's N -Number Game of Differences." *The Fibonacci Quarterly* 28.4 (1990):302-05.
4. A. Engel. *Mathematisches Experimentieren mit dem Computer*. Suttgart, 1991.
5. H. Glaser & G. Schöffl. "Ducci-Sequences and Pascal's Triangle." *The Fibonacci Quarterly* 33.4 (1995):313-24.
6. A. Ludington-Young. "Length of the n -Number Game." *The Fibonacci Quarterly* 28.3 (1990):259-65.
7. A. Ludington-Young. "Length of the 7-Number Game." *The Fibonacci Quarterly* 26.3 (1988):195-204.
8. L. Meyers. "Ducci's Four-Number Problem: A Short Bibliography." *Crux Mathematicorum* 8 (1982):262-66.
9. G. Schöffl. "Ducci Processes of 4-Tuples." *The Fibonacci Quarterly* (to appear).
10. J. Vinson. "The Relation of the Period Modulo to the Rank of Apparition of m in the Fibonacci Sequence." *The Fibonacci Quarterly* 1.1 (1963):37-46.
11. D. D. Wall. "Fibonacci Series Modulo m ." *Amer. Math. Monthly* 67 (1960):525-32.
12. F. B. Wong. "Ducci Processes." *The Fibonacci Quarterly* 20.2 (1982):97-105.

AMS Classification Number: 11B65



CORRIGENDUM TO THE PAPER "ON MULTIPLICITY SEQUENCES"
The Fibonacci Quarterly, Vol. 35, no. 1, pp. 9-10

Piotr Zarzycki

Department of Mathematics, University of Gdańsk

It was pointed out by Professor Harvey L. Abbott that the statement in the Theorem from the paper is not true. The counterexample given by Professor Abbot is as follows:

If $g(1) = 1$ and $g(n) = 2n$ for $n > 1$, then $L.C.M.(g(m), g(n)) = g(L.C.M.(m, n))$ for any m, n and $G.C.D.(g(m), g(n)) \neq g(G.C.D.(m, n))$ for some m, n .

The Theorem is true in a weaker form:

If g is a multiplicity sequence and g is also quasi-multiplicative which means that $g(m)g(n) = cg(mn)$ for any relatively prime m, n , then g is a strong divisibility sequence.
