FIBONACCI NUMBERS AND HARMONIC QUADRUPLES

Georg Johann Rieger

Institut für Mathematik, Universität Hannover, Welfengarten 1, 30167 Hannover, Germany (Submitted September 1997-Final Revision May 1998)

Here, we combine number theory (Fibonacci numbers) and projective geometry (harmonic fourth).

Let the real numbers

$$A < B < C < D \tag{1}$$

form a harmonic quadruple (see [1], pp. 159-60), i.e.,

$$\frac{B-C}{B-A}:\frac{D-C}{D-A} \text{ (cross ratio)} = -1$$

or

$$D(2B - A - C) = BC - 2CA + AB.$$
(2)

The number D is also called a harmonic fourth. The affine map $x \mapsto \alpha x + \beta$ with real numbers $\alpha > 0$ and β does not change equations (1) and (2). Especially, with $\alpha = 2/(C - A)$ and $\beta = -(C + A)/(C - A)$, we get $A_1 = -1$, $C_1 = 1$ and, therefore, $B_1D_1 = 1$, $0 < B_1 < 1 < D_1$. Then, $B_1 = (2B - A - C)/(C - A) > 0$ implies, from (1), that

$$2B > A + C.$$

It is easy to find harmonic quadruples of squares and also of primes like

 $1^2 < 3^2 < 4^2 < 11^2$, $1^2 < 11^2 < 15^2 < 41^2$, $3^2 < 11^2 < 13^2 < 17^2$, $4^2 < 9^2 < 11^2 < 17^2$.

and

$$3 < 11 < 17 < 59$$
, $3 < 23 < 41 < 383$,
 $5 < 13 < 19 < 61$, $7 < 19 < 29 < 139$;

also, the number 0 together with any three consecutive terms $(n+2)^{-1}$, $(n+1)^{-1}$, n^{-1} of the harmonic series form a harmonic quadruple.

Theorem: There are no harmonic quadruples of Fibonacci numbers.

Proof (by contradiction): For integers $2 \le a < b < c < d$, we replace (1) by

$$F_a < F_b < F_c < F_d \tag{4}$$

and (2) by

$$F_{d}(2F_{b} - F_{a} - F_{c}) = F_{b}F_{c} - 2F_{c}F_{a} + F_{a}F_{b}.$$
(5)

By (3), we must have $2F_b > F_a + F_c \ge 1 + F_c$ and, hence, c = b + 1; however, $2F_b \ge 2 + F_{b+1}$ or $F_{b-2} \ge 2$ holds exactly for $b \ge 5$. Inequality (3) now says $F_{b-2} \ge 1 + F_a$. By $b \ge 5$, this is satisfied exactly for $2 \le a \le b-3$. Consequently, instead of (5), we have to look at

$$F_d(F_{b-2} - F_a) = F_b F_{b+1} - 2F_a F_{b+1} + F_a F_b$$
(6)

252

AUG.

(3)

or

$$F_d F_{b-2} - F_b F_{b+1} = F_a (F_d - 2F_{b+1} + F_b)$$
⁽⁷⁾

for $b \ge 5$, $2 \le a \le b-3$, $d \ge b+2$. We observe that

$$F_d - 2F_{b+1} + F_b \ge F_{b+2} - 2F_{b+1} + F_b = F_{b-2} > 0.$$

For a = 2 and a = b - 3, we obtain " \geq " and " \leq ", respectively, in (7) and thus in (6). This means

$$F_d(F_{b-2}-1) \ge F_b F_{b+1} - 2F_{b+1} + F_b, \tag{8}$$

and

$$F_d F_{b-4} \le F_b F_{b+1} - 2F_{b-3}F_{b+1} + F_{b-3}F_b.$$
⁽⁹⁾

But

$$F_b F_{b+1} - 2F_{b+1} + F_b + F_{b+3}(1 - F_{b-2}) = 2(F_b + (-1)^b) > 0 \quad (b \ge 3)$$

and (8) imply $d \ge b + 4$. Furthermore,

$$F_{b+5}F_{b-4} - F_bF_{b+1} + 2F_{b-3}F_{b+1} - F_{b-3}F_b = (18F_b - 11F_{b+1})F_{b+2} > 0 \quad (b \ge 5)$$

and (9) imply $d \le b + 4$. This leaves d = b + 4.

We found that $b \ge 5$, and $2 \le a \le b-3$, and that (4) and (7) can be replaced, respectively, by $F_a < F_b < F_{b+1} < F_{b+4}$ and

$$F_{b+4}F_{b-2} - F_bF_{b+1} = F_a(F_{b+4} - 2F_{b+1} + F_b)$$

or, equivalently,

$$(F_a - 12F_b + 3F_{b+1})(3F_b + F_{b+1}) = -32F_b^2.$$

This implies $(3F_b + F_{b+1})|32F_b^2$. Since $1 = (F_{b+1}, F_b) = (3F_b + F_{b+1}, F_b)$, we obtain

$$(3F_b + F_{b+1})|32. (10)$$

But, $3F_5 + F_6 = 23$ and $3F_b + F_{b+1} \ge 37$ ($b \ge 6$). Hence (10) is impossible. \Box

REFERENCE

1. Oswald Veblen & John Wesley Young. *Projective Geometry*. New York-Toronto-London: Blaisdell Publishing Company, 1910, 1938.

AMS Classification Numbers: 11B39, 51M04

* * *

1999]