

ALTERNATING SUMS OF FOURTH POWERS OF FIBONACCI AND LUCAS NUMBERS

R. S. Melham

School of Mathematical Sciences, University of Technology, Sydney
PO Box 123, Broadway, NSW 2007 Australia

(Submitted August 1998-Final Revision December 1998)

1. INTRODUCTION

The Fibonacci and Lucas numbers are defined for all integers n as

$$\begin{cases} F_{n+1} = F_n + F_{n-1}, & F_1 = F_2 = 1, \\ L_{n+1} = L_n + L_{n-1}, & L_1 = 1, L_2 = 3. \end{cases}$$

Their Binet forms are $F_n = \frac{\alpha^n - \beta^n}{\alpha - \beta}$ and $L_n = \alpha^n + \beta^n$, where α and β are the roots of $x^2 - x - 1 = 0$.

Inspired by the well-known sum

$$\sum_{k=1}^n F_k^2 = F_n F_{n+1}, \quad (1.1)$$

Clary and Hemenway [2] obtained factored closed-form expressions for all sums of the form $\sum_{k=1}^n F_{mk}^3$, where m is an integer. For example, they discovered

$$\sum_{k=1}^n F_{2k}^3 = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{4} F_n^2 L_{n+1} F_{n-1} L_{n+2} & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ \frac{1}{4} L_n^2 F_{n+1}^2 L_{n-1} F_{n+2} & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

and

$$\sum_{k=1}^n F_{4k}^3 = \frac{1}{8} F_{2n}^2 F_{2n+2}^2 (L_{4n+2} + 6). \quad (1.3)$$

Motivated by the results of Clary and Hemenway, we turned to fourth powers to see if similar factorizations could be obtained. In the case of nonalternating sums, we could find nothing to compare with the beautiful formulas of Clary and Hemenway. However, by experimenting with many numerical examples, we found the most interesting results when we considered alternating sums. We present these results in Section 3, and indicate our method of proof in Section 4. As noted in [2], once such identities are discovered, it is usually a comparatively routine matter to prove them. However, to assist us in the proofs, we have discovered a number of striking sums that involve the Lucas numbers, and we present these in Section 2.

2. PRELIMINARY RESULTS

We require the following results.

$$F_{n+k} + F_{n-k} = F_n L_k, \quad k \text{ even,} \quad (2.1)$$

$$F_{n+k} + F_{n-k} = L_n F_k, \quad k \text{ odd,} \quad (2.2)$$

$$F_{n+k} - F_{n-k} = F_n L_k, \quad k \text{ odd,} \quad (2.3)$$

$$F_{n+k} - F_{n-k} = L_n F_k, \quad k \text{ even}, \quad (2.4)$$

$$L_{n+k} + L_{n-k} = L_n L_k, \quad k \text{ even}, \quad (2.5)$$

$$L_{n+k} + L_{n-k} = 5F_n F_k, \quad k \text{ odd}, \quad (2.6)$$

$$L_{n+k} - L_{n-k} = L_n L_k, \quad k \text{ odd}, \quad (2.7)$$

$$L_{n+k} - L_{n-k} = 5F_n F_k, \quad k \text{ even}, \quad (2.8)$$

$$L_{2m} - 2 = L_m^2, \quad m \text{ odd}, \quad (2.9)$$

$$L_{2m} + 2 = L_m^2, \quad m \text{ even}, \quad (2.10)$$

$$L_{2m} + (-1)^{m+1} 2 = 5F_m^2. \quad (2.11)$$

Identities (2.1)-(2.8) appear as (5)-(12) in Bergum and Hoggatt [1], while (2.9)-(2.11) can be proved with the aid of the Binet forms for F_n and L_n .

Throughout this paper $m \neq 0$ is an integer. To assist in our proofs, we also make use of four sums which involve Lucas numbers with even subscripts. If m is odd, we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^n L_{2mk} = \begin{cases} \frac{5F_{mn} F_{m(n+1)}}{L_m}, & n \text{ even}, \\ \frac{L_{mn} L_{m(n+1)}}{L_m}, & n \text{ odd}, \end{cases} \quad (2.12)$$

and

$$\sum_{k=0}^n L_{2mk} = \begin{cases} \frac{L_{mn} L_{m(n+1)}}{L_m}, & n \text{ even}, \\ \frac{5F_{mn} F_{m(n+1)}}{L_m}, & n \text{ odd}. \end{cases} \quad (2.13)$$

On the right sides of (2.12) and (2.13), the even and odd cases are reversed. Equally surprising, we have found that for m even

$$\sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^k L_{2mk} = \begin{cases} \frac{5F_{mn} F_{m(n+1)}}{L_m}, & n \text{ even}, \\ -\frac{L_{mn} L_{m(n+1)}}{L_m}, & n \text{ odd}, \end{cases} \quad (2.14)$$

and

$$\sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k L_{2mk} = \begin{cases} \frac{L_{mn} L_{m(n+1)}}{L_m}, & n \text{ even}, \\ -\frac{5F_{mn} F_{m(n+1)}}{L_m}, & n \text{ odd}. \end{cases} \quad (2.15)$$

The proofs of (2.12)-(2.15) are similar. We illustrate the method by proving (2.13).

Proof of (2.13): Expressing L_{2mk} in Binet form and summing the resulting geometric progressions, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^n L_{2mk} &= \frac{\alpha^{2mn+2m} - 1}{\alpha^{2m} - 1} + \frac{\beta^{2mn+2m} - 1}{\beta^{2m} - 1} \\ &= \frac{L_{2mn+2m} - L_{2mn} + L_{2m} - 2}{L_{2m} - 2} \\ &= \frac{L_{(2mn+m)+m} - L_{(2mn+m)-m} + L_m^2}{L_m^2} \quad [\text{by (2.9)}] \\ &= \frac{L_{2mn+m}L_m + L_m^2}{L_m^2} \quad [\text{by (2.7)}] \\ &= \frac{L_{(mn+m)+mn} + L_{(mn+m)-mn}}{L_m} \end{aligned}$$

Since m is odd, (2.13) follows from (2.5) and (2.6). \square

3. THE MAIN RESULTS

We now present our main results. If m is even, then

$$\sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^k F_{mk}^4 = \frac{(-1)^n F_{mn} F_{m(n+1)} [L_m L_{mn} L_{m(n+1)} - 4L_{2m}]}{5L_m L_{2m}}, \tag{3.1}$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^k L_{mk}^4 = \frac{5F_{mn} F_{m(n+1)} [L_m L_{mn} L_{m(n+1)} + 4L_{2m}]}{L_m L_{2m}}, \quad n \text{ even}, \tag{3.2}$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k L_{mk}^4 = -\frac{5F_{mn} F_{m(n+1)} [L_m L_{mn} L_{m(n+1)} + 4L_{2m}]}{L_m L_{2m}}, \quad n \text{ odd}. \tag{3.3}$$

We mention that (3.2) and (3.3) can be combined in a single sum as

$$\sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^k L_{mk}^4 = \frac{(-1)^n 5F_{mn} F_{m(n+1)} [L_m L_{mn} L_{m(n+1)} + 4L_{2m}]}{L_m L_{2m}} - 8(1 + (-1)^{n+1}).$$

On the other hand, if m is odd, then

$$\sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^k F_{mk}^4 = \frac{(-1)^n F_{mn} F_{m(n+1)} [L_m L_{mn} L_{m(n+1)} + 4(-1)^{n+1} L_{2m}]}{5L_m L_{2m}}, \tag{3.4}$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^k L_{mk}^4 = \frac{5F_{mn} F_{m(n+1)} [L_m L_{mn} L_{m(n+1)} + 4L_{2m}]}{L_m L_{2m}}, \quad n \text{ even}, \tag{3.5}$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k L_{mk}^4 = -\frac{5F_{mn} F_{m(n+1)} [L_m L_{mn} L_{m(n+1)} - 4L_{2m}]}{L_m L_{2m}}, \quad n \text{ odd}. \tag{3.6}$$

As before, (3.5) and (3.6) can be expressed as a single sum, but we choose to write them separately in order to present the right sides in factored form. This is the reason for the appearance of the zero lower limit.

4. THE METHOD OF PROOF

To illustrate the method, we prove (3.4). First, let n be even. In what follows, we note that since m is odd and $\alpha\beta = -1$, then $(\alpha\beta)^{mk} = (-1)^k$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^k F_{mk}^4 &= \frac{1}{25} \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^k (\alpha^{mk} - \beta^{mk})^4 \\ &= \frac{1}{25} \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^k (L_{4mk} - 4(-1)^k L_{2mk} + 6) \\ &= \frac{1}{25} \sum_{k=1}^n ((-1)^k L_{4mk} - 4L_{2mk} + 6(-1)^k) \\ &= \frac{1}{25} \sum_{k=1}^n ((-1)^k L_{4mk} - 4L_{2mk}), \text{ since } n \text{ is even.} \end{aligned}$$

With the use of (2.12) and (2.14), this becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{25} \left[\frac{5F_{2mn}F_{2m(n+1)}}{L_{2m}} - \frac{20F_{mn}F_{m(n+1)}}{L_m} \right] &= \frac{1}{5} \left[\frac{F_{mn}L_{mn}F_{m(n+1)}L_{m(n+1)}}{L_{2m}} - \frac{4F_{mn}F_{m(n+1)}}{L_m} \right] \\ &= \frac{F_{mn}F_{m(n+1)}[L_mL_{mn}L_{m(n+1)} - 4L_{2m}]}{5L_mL_{2m}}. \end{aligned}$$

If n is odd, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^k F_{mk}^4 &= \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k F_{mk}^4 \quad (\text{since } F_0 = 0) \\ &= \frac{1}{25} \sum_{k=0}^n ((-1)^k L_{4mk} - 4L_{2mk} + 6(-1)^k) \\ &= \frac{1}{25} \sum_{k=0}^n ((-1)^k L_{4mk} - 4L_{2mk}), \text{ since } n \text{ is odd.} \end{aligned}$$

With the aid of (2.13) and (2.15), this sum becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{25} \left[\frac{-5F_{2mn}F_{2m(n+1)}}{L_{2m}} - \frac{20F_{mn}F_{m(n+1)}}{L_m} \right] &= -\frac{1}{5} \left[\frac{F_{mn}L_{mn}F_{m(n+1)}L_{m(n+1)}}{L_{2m}} + \frac{4F_{mn}F_{m(n+1)}}{L_m} \right] \\ &= -\frac{F_{mn}F_{m(n+1)}[L_mL_{mn}L_{m(n+1)} + 4L_{2m}]}{5L_mL_{2m}}, \end{aligned}$$

and this completes the proof. \square

We remark that the proof of (3.1) is similar since the parities of n must be considered separately, but the proofs of the other results in Section 3 are more straightforward.

5. CONCLUSION

During the course of our investigation we discovered two further pairs of sums similar in character to (2.12)-(2.15) which we include here. If m is odd, then

$$\sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^k L_{2mk} = \frac{(-1)^n F_{mn} L_{m(n+1)}}{F_m}, \tag{5.1}$$

and

$$\sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k L_{2mk} = \frac{(-1)^n L_{mn} F_{m(n+1)}}{F_m}. \tag{5.2}$$

If m is even, then

$$\sum_{k=1}^n L_{2mk} = \frac{F_{mn} L_{m(n+1)}}{F_m}, \tag{5.3}$$

and

$$\sum_{k=0}^n L_{2mk} = \frac{L_{mn} F_{m(n+1)}}{F_m}. \tag{5.4}$$

The Lucas counterpart of (1.1), which appears as I_4 in [3], is

$$\sum_{k=1}^n I_k^2 = L_n L_{n+1} - 2 = L_n L_{n+1} - L_0 L_1. \tag{5.5}$$

The right side of (5.5) suggests the notation $[L_j L_{j+1}]_0^n$.

We now make an observation about identity (3.4) and its Lucas counterpart. We have found that for $m = 1$ they can be expressed as

$$\sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^k F_k^4 = \frac{(-1)^n}{3} F_{n-2} F_n F_{n+1} F_{n+3}, \tag{5.6}$$

and

$$\sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^k I_k^4 = \left[\frac{(-1)^j}{3} L_{j-2} L_j L_{j+1} L_{j+3} \right]_0^n. \tag{5.7}$$

They can be proved quite effectively using the method outlined on page 135 of [2]. We illustrate by proving (5.7).

Let l_n denote the sum on the left side of (5.7), and let $r_n = \frac{(-1)^n}{3} L_{n-2} L_n L_{n+1} L_{n+3}$. Then

$$r_n - r_{n-1} = \frac{(-1)^n}{3} L_n (L_{n-2} L_{n+1} L_{n+3} + L_{n-3} L_{n-1} L_{n+2}). \tag{5.8}$$

Now, by using the recurrence satisfied by the Lucas numbers, we express L_{n-2} , L_{n+3} , L_{n-3} , L_{n-1} , and L_{n+2} in terms of L_n and L_{n+1} , and substitute in (5.8) to obtain

$$r_n - r_{n-1} = l_n - l_{n-1} = \frac{(-1)^n}{3} I_n^4.$$

Thus, $l_n - r_n = -r_0$, and this proves (5.7).

To conclude, we mention that for p real the sequences $\{U_n\}$ and $\{V_n\}$ defined for all integers n by

$$\begin{cases} U_n = pU_{n-1} + U_{n-2}, & U_0 = 0, U_1 = 1, \\ V_n = pV_{n-1} + V_{n-2}, & V_0 = 2, V_1 = p, \end{cases}$$

generalize the Fibonacci and Lucas numbers, respectively. Identities (2.12)-(2.15), together with the results in Section 3, and (5.1)-(5.4) translate immediately to U_n and V_n . The reason is that if

we replace F_n by U_n , L_n by V_n , and 5 by $p^2 + 4$, then U_n and V_n satisfy (2.1)-(2.11), upon which all our proofs are based. For example, if m is odd, (3.4) and (3.5) become, respectively,

$$\sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^k U_{mk}^4 = \frac{(-1)^n U_{mn} U_{m(n+1)} [V_m V_{mn} V_{m(n+1)} + 4(-1)^{n+1} V_{2m}]}{(p^2 + 4) V_m V_{2m}}, \quad (5.9)$$

and

$$\sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^k V_{mk}^4 = \frac{(p^2 + 4) U_{mn} U_{m(n+1)} [V_m V_{mn} V_{m(n+1)} + 4V_{2m}]}{V_m V_{2m}}, \quad n \text{ even.} \quad (5.10)$$

REFERENCES

1. G. E. Bergum & V. E. Hoggatt, Jr. "Sums and Products for Recurring Sequences." *The Fibonacci Quarterly* 13.2 (1975):115-20.
2. S. Clary & P. D. Hemenway. "On Sums of Cubes of Fibonacci Numbers." In *Applications of Fibonacci Numbers* 5:123-36. Ed. G. E. Bergum et al. Dordrecht: Kluwer, 1993.
3. V. E. Hoggatt, Jr. *Fibonacci and Lucas Numbers*. Boston: Houghton Mifflin, 1969; rpt. The Fibonacci Association, Santa Clara, CA, 1979.

AMS Classification Numbers: 11B39, 11B37



Author and Title Index

The AUTHOR, TITLE, KEY-WORD, ELEMENTARY PROBLEMS, and ADVANCED PROBLEMS indices for the first 30 volumes of *The Fibonacci Quarterly* have been completed by Dr. Charles K. Cook. Publication of the completed indices is on a 3.5-inch, high density disk. The price for a copyrighted version of the disk will be \$40.00 plus postage for non-subscribers, while subscribers to *The Fibonacci Quarterly* need only pay \$20.00 plus postage. For additional information, or to order a disk copy of the indices, write to:

PROFESSOR CHARLES K. COOK
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH CAROLINA AT SUMTER
1 LOUISE CIRCLE
SUMTER, SC 29150

The indices have been compiled using WORDPERFECT. Should you wish to order a copy of the indices for another wordprocessor or for a non-compatible IBM machine, please explain your situation to Dr. Cook when you place your order and he will try to accommodate you. **DO NOT SEND PAYMENT WITH YOUR ORDER.** You will be billed for the indices and postage by Dr. Cook when he sends you the disk. A star is used in the indices to indicate unsolved problems. Furthermore, Dr. Cook is working on a SUBJECT index and will also be classifying all articles by use of the AMS Classification Scheme. Those who purchase the indices will be given one free update of all indices when the SUBJECT index and the AMS Classification of all articles published in *The Fibonacci Quarterly* are completed.