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PROBLEMS PROPOSED IN THIS ISSUE

H-564 Proposed by Stanley Rabinowitz, Westford, MA

Let \( k \) be a positive integer and let \( a_0 = 1 \). Find integers \( a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_k \) and \( b_0, b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_k \) such that

\[
\sum_{i=0}^{k} a_i L_{n+i}^{2k} = \sum_{i=0}^{k} b_i F_{n+i}^{2k}
\]

is true for all integers \( n \). Prove that your answer is unique.

For example, when \( k = 4 \), we have the identity

\[
L_n^8 + 21L_{n+1}^8 + 56L_{n+2}^8 + 21L_{n+3}^8 + L_{n+4}^8 = 625F_n^8 + 21F_{n+1}^8 + 56F_{n+2}^8 + 21F_{n+3}^8 + F_{n+4}^8.
\]

H-565 Proposed by Paul S. Bruckman, Berkeley, CA

Let \( p \) be a prime with \( p \equiv -1 \pmod{2m} \), where \( m \geq 3 \) is an odd integer. Prove that all residues are \( m^{th} \) powers \( \pmod{p} \).

H-566 Proposed by N. Gauthier, Dept. of Physics, Royal Military College of Canada

Let \( \phi_n = \pi / 2n \), where \( n \) is a positive integer, and set \( L_n = a^n + b^n, \ F_n = (a^n - b^n) / (a - b) \), where \( a = \frac{1}{2}(u + \sqrt{u^2 - 4}), \ b = \frac{1}{2}(u - \sqrt{u^2 - 4}), \ u \equiv \pm 2 \), and show that, for \( n \geq 2 \),

\[
S_n(u) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{1 + \left(\frac{u^2 - 4}{2}\right)\epsilon(k\phi_n)}
\]

\[
= -\frac{1}{2} \frac{n}{2(u + 2)^2 F_n} [L_{n+1} + 3L_n + 3L_{n-1} + L_{n-2}].
\]

SOLUTIONS

A Very Odd Problem

H-550 Proposed by Paul S. Bruckman, Berkeley, CA

(Vol. 37, no. 2, May 1999)

Suppose \( n \) is an odd integer, \( p \) an odd prime \( \neq 5 \). Prove that \( L_n \equiv 1 \pmod{p} \) if and only if

(i) \( \alpha^n = \alpha, \ \beta^n = \beta \pmod{p} \), or

(ii) \( \alpha^n = \beta, \ \beta^n = \alpha \pmod{p} \).
Solution by H.-J. Seiffert, Berlin, Germany

Recall that the integers of $Q(\sqrt{5})$ have the form $(a + \sqrt{5}b)/2$, where $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $a \equiv b \pmod{2}$.

Since $n$ is odd, we have $L_n^2 - 5F_n^2 = -4$. Clearly, $L_n \equiv F_n \pmod{2}$.

Suppose that $L_n \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$. Then $L_n^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$, because $p$ is a prime $\neq 5$. Hence, since $p$ is an odd prime, either $F_n \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ or $F_n \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$. If $F_n \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$, then

$$\alpha'' = \frac{L_n + \sqrt{5}F_n}{2} = \frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2} = \alpha \pmod{p}$$

and

$$\beta'' = \frac{L_n - \sqrt{5}F_n}{2} = \frac{1 - \sqrt{5}}{2} = \beta \pmod{p}.$$

Similarly, if $F_n \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$, then

$$\alpha'' = \frac{L_n + \sqrt{5}F_n}{2} = \frac{1 - \sqrt{5}}{2} = \beta \pmod{p}$$

and

$$\beta'' = \frac{L_n - \sqrt{5}F_n}{2} = \frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2} = \alpha \pmod{p}.$$

Conversely, suppose that either (i) or (ii) holds, then in each case $L_n = \alpha'' + \beta'' = \alpha + \beta = 1 \pmod{p}$.

Also solved by L. A. G. Dresel and the proposer.

Some Restriction!

H-551 Proposed by N. Gauthier, Royal Military College of Canada
(Vol. 37, no. 2, May 1999)

Let $k$ be a nonnegative integer and define the following restricted double-sum,

$$S_k := \sum_{r=0}^{a-1} \sum_{s=0}^{b-1} (br + as)^k,$$

where $a$ and $b$ are relatively prime positive integers.

a. Show that

$$S_{k-1} = \frac{1}{kb} \left[ \sum_{r=0}^{a-1} ((ab + r)^k - a^k r^k) - \sum_{m=2}^{k} \binom{k}{m} b^m S_{k-m} \right]$$

for $k \geq 1$.

The convention that $\binom{k}{m} = 0$ if $m > k$ is adopted.

b. Show that

$$S_2 = \frac{ab}{12} [3a^2 b^2 + 2a^2 b + 2ab^2 - a^2 - b^2 - 9ab + a + b + 2].$$

Solution by Paul S. Bruckman, Berkeley, CA

In the $rs$-plane, let $L$ denote the line segment $br + as = ab$ (i.e., $r/a + s/b = 1$), with $0 \leq r \leq a$, $0 \leq s \leq b$. Also, let $T$ denote the triangular first-quadrant region bounded by the axes and $L$, including points on the axes that are not on $L$, and excluding points on $L$.

Note that $S_k$ considers only the lattice points $\{r, s\}$ that are elements of $T$. The only lattice points that lie on $L$ are the points $\{a, 0\}$ and $\{0, b\}$, a consequence of the fact that $\gcd(a, b) = 1$.

For brevity, we write

$$S_k = \sum_{T} (br + as)^k,$$

where $\{r, s\}$ are lattice points of $T$.
We will first derive the following identity:
\[
\sum_{r=0}^{a-1} \sum_{s=0}^{b-1} (br + as)^k = \sum_{m=0}^{b-1} (ab + m)^k - \sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor(b-1)/a\rfloor} (ab + am)^k.
\] (1)

**Proof of (1):** Concentrating on the left member of (1), we see that the value of the variable \(br + as\), subject to the indicated restriction, is \(ab + m\), where \(m\) is an element of the set \(\{0, 1, 2, \ldots, b-1\}\). Since \(a\) and \(b\) are coprime, there exist positive integers \(u\) and \(v\) such that \(au - bv = 1\). Assuming that \(m > 0\) is given and not a multiple of \(a\), the equation \(br + as = ab + m\) has solutions \(\{r, s\}\) given by: \(r = a - mv + at\), \(s = mu - bt\), where \(t\) is an arbitrary integer. However, since we require \(0 \leq r \leq a - 1\), \(0 \leq s \leq b - 1\), this forces \(t\) to have a unique value, and the solutions \(\{r, s\}\) are therefore unique.

On the other hand, if \(m = am'\) is given, the equation \(br + as = ab + am'\) implies \(br = 0\) (mod \(a\)), hence \(a|r\). This, in turn, can only occur if \(r = 0\), in which case \(br + as < ab\), falling outside of the range of restricted values allowed. Thus, \(m\) assumes each value in \(\{0, 1, 2, \ldots, b - 1\}\) exactly once, with the exception of the multiples of \(a\), which do not occur at all (it is seen at once that \(m\), likewise, cannot be a multiple of \(b\)). These latter values of \(m\) to be excluded therefore comprise the set \(\{0, a, 2a, \ldots, [(b-1)/a]\}\). Putting these facts together establishes the identity in (1).

Next, consider the sum \(\sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor(b-1)/a\rfloor} C_m b^m S_{k-m}\), which may also be written symbolically as \((b + S)^k\), it being understood that, in such a binomial expansion, "exponents" of \(S\) are translated to subscripts. We see that, provided \(k \geq 2\), such sum equals
\[
(b + S)^k = S_k + kbS_{k-1} + \sum_{m=2}^{k} C_m b^m S_{k-m}.
\] (2)

Note that this is also true for \(k = 1\) if we define the sum in (2) to vanish for \(k = 1\). Also, however,
\[
(b + S)^k = \sum_{r=1}^{a-1} \sum_{s=0}^{b-1} (br + as)^k
\]
\[
= \sum_{r=1}^{a} \sum_{s=0}^{b-1} (br + as)^k = \sum_{r=0}^{a-1} \sum_{s=0}^{b-1} (br + as)^k - \sum_{s=0}^{\lfloor(b-1)/a\rfloor} (as)^k
\]
\[
= S_k + U_k + \sum_{s=0}^{\lfloor(b-1)/a\rfloor} (ab + as)^k - \sum_{s=0}^{\lfloor(b-1)/a\rfloor} (as)^k,
\]
where \(U_k\) is the sum indicated in the left member of (1). Then, using the result of (1), we obtain the following:
\[
(b + S)^k = S_k + \sum_{m=0}^{b-1} (ab + m)^k - \sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor(b-1)/a\rfloor} (am)^k.
\]

Now, substituting the result in (2) and simplifying yields the result of Part a.

We simply substitute \(k = 1, 2, \) or \(3\) in the recurrence formula just derived in order to compute \(S_{k-1}\). Incidentally, it is to be noted that although this recurrence is not symmetric in \(a\) and \(b\), it should be evident that the expression for \(S_k\) must be symmetric in \(a\) and \(b\). Thus, a comparable recurrence, with \(a\) and \(b\) switched, is also true. For \(k = 1\), we obtain
bS₀ = \sum_{m=0}^{b-1} (ab - (a - 1)m) = ab^2 - (a - 1)b(b - 1)/2;

hence, S₀ = ab - (a - 1)(b - 1)/2 or

$$S₀ = (ab + a + b - 1)/2.$$  \hfill (3)

Next, setting \( k = 2 \), we have

$$2bS₁ = \sum_{m=0}^{b-1} \{a^2b^2 + 2abm - (a^2 - 1)m^2\} - b^2S₀
= a^2b^3 + ab^2(b - 1) - (a^2 - 1)b(b - 1)(2b - 1)/6 - b^2(ab + a + b - 1)/2;

hence,

$$12S₁ = 6a^2b^2 + 6ab^2 - 6ab - 2a^2b^2 + 3a^2b - a^2 + 2b^2 - 3b + 1 - 3a^2b - 3b^2 + 3b
= 4a^2b^2 + 3ab^2 + 3a^2b - a^2 - 9ab - b^2 + 1

or

$$S₁ = (4a^2b^2 + 3ab^2 + 3a^2b - a^2 - 9ab - b^2 + 1)/12.$$  \hfill (4)

Finally, setting \( k = 3 \), we obtain

$$3bS₂ = \sum_{m=0}^{b-1} \{a^3b^3 + 3a^2b^2m + 3abm^2 - (a^3 - 1)m^3\} - 3b^2S₁ - b^3S₀
= a^3b^4 + 3a^2b^3(b - 1)/2 + 3ab^2(b - 1)(2b - 1)/6 - (a^3 - 1)b^2(b - 1)^2/4
- b^2(4a^2b^2 + 3ab^2 + 3a^2b - a^2 - 9ab - b^2 + 1)/4 - b^3(ab + a + b - 1)/2;

which, after simplification, reduces to the expression in Part \( b \). □

Also solved by H.-J. Seiffert and the proposer.

Be Determinant

H-552 Proposed by Paul S. Bruckman, Berkeley, CA (Vol. 37, no. 2, May 1999)

Given \( m \geq 2 \), let \( \{U_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \) denote a sequence of the following form:

$$U_n = \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i(\theta_k)^n,$$

where the \( a_i \)'s and \( \theta \)'s are constants, with the \( \theta \)'s distinct, and the \( U_n \)'s satisfy the initial conditions \( U_n = 0, n = 0, 1, \ldots, m - 2; U_{m-1} = 1 \).

Part A. Prove the following formula for the \( U_n \)'s:

$$U_n = \sum_{S(n-m+1,m)} (\theta_1)^i_1(\theta_2)^i_2\cdots(\theta_m)^i_m,$$

where

$$S(N, m) = \{(i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_m): i_1 + i_2 + \cdots + i_m = N, 0 \leq i_j < N, j = 1, 2, \ldots, m\}.  \hfill (b)$$

Part B. Prove the following determinant formula for the \( U_n \)'s:
Solution by H.-J. Seiffert, Berlin, Germany

If \( V(x_1, \ldots, x_m) = \det((x_k)^{-1})_{j,k=1}^{m} \) denotes the Vandermonde determinant of \( m \) distinct numbers \( x_1, \ldots, x_m \), then, as is well known,

\[
V(x_1, \ldots, x_m) = \prod_{i \leq j < k \leq m} (x_k - x_j) .
\]  

From \( U_n = 0, n = 0, \ldots, m - 2 \), and \( U_{m-1} = 1 \), we have the following system of linear equations:

\[
\begin{align*}
\theta_1 & + a_2 \theta_2 + \cdots + a_m \theta_m = 0, \\
\theta_1 \theta_2 & + a_2 \theta_2 \theta_3 + \cdots + a_m \theta_m \theta_m = 0, \\
& \vdots \quad \vdots \quad \vdots \quad \vdots \\
\theta_1 \theta_2 \cdots \theta_m & + a_2 \theta_2 \theta_3 \cdots \theta_m + \cdots + a_m \theta_m \theta_2 \cdots \theta_m = 0, \\
\theta_1 \theta_2 \cdots \theta_{m-1} & + a_2 \theta_2 \theta_3 \cdots \theta_{m-1} + \cdots + a_m \theta_m \theta_2 \cdots \theta_{m-1} = 1.
\end{align*}
\]

Solving by Cramer's rule and expanding the nominator determinant occurring there by the \( i \)th column gives

\[
a_i = (-1)^{m-i} \frac{V(\theta_1, \ldots, \hat{\theta}_i, \ldots, \theta_m)}{V(\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_m)} , \quad i = 1, \ldots, m,
\]  

where \( \hat{\theta}_i \) indicates that \( \theta_i \) is released. Hence, by (1),

\[
a_i = \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{1}{\theta_i - \theta_j} , \quad i = 1, \ldots, m.
\]  

For sufficiently small \( |x| \), we consider the generating functions

\[
U(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} U_n x^n \quad \text{and} \quad W(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{S(n-m+1,m)} (\theta_1)^i (\theta_2)^j \cdots (\theta_m)^k x^n.
\]

Then,

\[
U(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i (\theta_i)^n x^n = \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\theta_i x)^n ,
\]

or

\[
U(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{a_i}{1 - \theta_i x} ,
\]  

and

\[
W(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{S(n-m+1,m)} (\theta_1 x)^i (\theta_2 x)^j \cdots (\theta_m x)^k x^{m-1} = x^{m-1} \prod_{j=1}^{m} \left( \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (\theta_j x)^i \right) .
\]
or

\[ W(x) = x^{m-1} \prod_{j=1}^{m} \frac{1}{1 - \theta_j x}. \]  

From Lagrange's interpolation formula, we have

\[ \sum_{j=1}^{m} \prod_{\substack{i=1 \atop i \neq j}}^{m} x - \theta_j \prod_{\substack{i=1 \atop i \neq j}}^{m} \theta_i - \theta_j = 1 \quad \text{for all } x. \]

Replacing \( x \) by \( 1/x \) and then multiplying by \( x^{m-1} \prod_{j=1}^{m} (1 - \theta_j x)^{-1} \) yields

\[ \sum_{j=1}^{m} \frac{1}{1 - \theta_j x} \prod_{\substack{i=1 \atop i \neq j}}^{m} \frac{1}{\theta_i - \theta_j} = x^{m-1} \prod_{j=1}^{m} \frac{1}{1 - \theta_j x}, \]

valid for all sufficiently small \( |x| \). Now, from (3), (4), and (5), we see that \( U(x) = W(x) \) for all sufficiently small \( |x| \). Comparing coefficients of these generating functions gives the desired equation (a) of Part A.

Expanding the nominator determinant of the requested equation of Part B by the last row, we see that we must show:

\[ U_n = \sum_{i=1}^{m} (-1)^{m-i} \frac{V(\theta_1, \ldots, \hat{\theta_i}, \ldots, \theta_m)}{V(\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_m)} (\theta_i)^n. \]

However, this holds by (2) and the definition of \( U_n \). This completes the solution.

Also solved by the proposer.

Lotsa Terms

**H-554  Proposed by N. Gauthier, Royal Military College of Canada (Vol 37, no. 3, August 1999)**

Let \( k, a, \) and \( b \) be positive integers with \( a \) and \( b \) relatively prime to each other, and define

\[ N_k := (1 + (-1)^k - L_k)^{-1} = \begin{cases} (2 - L_k)^{-1} & \text{if } k \text{ is even}, \\ -L_k^{-1} & \text{if } k \text{ is odd}. \end{cases} \]

a. Show that

\[ \sum_{r=0}^{a-1} \sum_{s=0}^{b-1} L_q(br + as) = N_{qa} N_{qb} [2 + L_q(ab) - L_qa - L_qb - (-1)^{qa} L_{qa(b-1)} + (-1)^{qb} L_{qb(a-1)} + L_q(ab - a - b)] + N_q [(-1)^q L_{q(ab-1)} - L_{qab}], \]

where \( q \) is a positive integer.

b. Show that

\[ \sum_{r=0}^{a-1} \sum_{s=0}^{b-1} F_q(br + as) = N_{qa} N_{qb} [(-1)^{q(ab)+1} F_{q(ab-1)} + F_{qa} + F_{qb} - F_{qab} + (-1)^{qa} F_{q(a-1)} + (-1)^{qb} F_{q(b-1)} + F_{qab}] + N_q [(-1)^q F_{q(ab-1)} - F_{qab}], \]

where \( q \) is a positive integer.
**Solution by Paul S. Bruckman, Berkeley, CA**

In the \(rs\)-plane, let \(L\) denote the line segment \(br + as = ab\) (i.e., \(r/a + s/b = 1\)), with \(0 \leq r \leq a\), \(0 \leq s \leq b\). Let \(S\) denote the set of lattice points included in the triangular first-quadrant region bounded by the axes and \(L\), including points on the axes, but excluding points on \(L\). Also, let \(T\) denote the triangular "mirror-image" of \(S\) about \(L\), and let \(R = S \cup T \cup (0, b) \cup (a, 0)\). Thus, \(R\) is the set of all lattice points included in the rectangular region with \(0 \leq r \leq a\), \(0 \leq s \leq b\). Since \(\gcd(a, b) = 1\), we see that the only lattice points of \(R \cap L\) are at the end-points of \(L\), namely, at \((0, b)\) and \((a, 0)\); moreover, these end-points are neither in \(S\) nor in \(T\).

For brevity, write \(N = br + as\), and \(N \in S\) to mean \((r, s) \in S\), with similar notation for \(L\) and \(R\). Make the following definitions, valid for arbitrary \(x \neq 1\):

\[
S(x) = \sum_{N \in S} x^N, \quad T(x) = \sum_{N \in T} x^N, \quad R(x) = \sum_{N \in R} x^N. \tag{1}
\]

We see that

\[
R(x) = (1 + x^a + x^{2a} + \cdots + x^{br})(1 + x^b + x^{2b} + \cdots + x^{ab})
= (1 - x^{(b+1)a})(1 - x^{(a+1)b}) / \{(1 + x^a)(1 - x^b)\}.
\]

We also see that \(R(x) = S(x) + T(x) + 2x^{ab}\) and, moreover, that \(T(x) = \sum_{N \in S} x^{2ab-N} = x^{2ab}S(x^{-1})\).

This yields the following symmetrical relation (upon division by \(x^{ab}\)):

\[
x^{-ab}S(x) + x^{ab}S(x^{-1}) + 2 = x^{-ab}R(x) = U(x) \quad \text{(say)}. \tag{2}
\]

As we may verify, \(U(x) = x^{-ab}(1 - x^{(b+1)a})(1 - x^{(a+1)b}) / \{(1 - x^a)(1 - x^b)\} = U(x^{-1})\).

We also observe, due to the fact that \(\gcd(a, b) = 1\), that each value of \(N\) occurring as an exponent in the sum \(S(x)\) occurs but once.

We may evaluate the sum \(S(x)\) by means of certain manipulations. Thus,

\[
S(x) = \sum_{N \in S} x^N = \sum_{r=0}^{a-1} \sum_{s=0}^{b-1} x^{br + as} = \sum_{r=1}^{a} \sum_{s=0}^{b-1} x^{b(r-1) + as}
= x^{-(a-1)} \sum_{r=0}^{a-1} \sum_{s=0}^{b-1} x^{br + as} - x^{-b} \sum_{s=0}^{b-1} x^{as} + x^{-b} \sum_{r=0}^{(b-1)/a} \sum_{s=0}^{r} x^{br + as},
\]

assuming that \(a \geq b\), then

\[
x^bS(x) = \sum_{r=0}^{a-1} \sum_{s=0}^{b-1} x^{br + as} + \sum_{r=0}^{a-1} \sum_{s=0}^{b-1} x^{br + as} - (x^{ab} - 1) / (x^a - 1) + x^{ab}
= S(x) + \sum_{k=ab+1}^{ab+b-1} x^k - (x^{ab} - 1) / (x^a - 1) + x^{ab}
= S(x) + (x^{ab+b} - x^{ab+1}) / (x - 1) - (x^{ab} - 1) / (x^a - 1) + x^{ab}.
\]

After simplification, we obtain the symmetric expression (valid also if \(a \leq b\)):

\[
S(x) = (1 - x^{ab}) / \{(1 - x^a)(1 - x^b)\} - x^{ab} / (1 - x). \tag{3}
\]

Now the sums given in the problem are seen to equal the following expressions:
\[ \sum_{N \in S} L_{qN} = S(\alpha^q) + S(\beta^q); \quad \sum_{N \in S} F_{qN} = 5^{1/2} \{S(\alpha^q) - S(\beta^q)\}. \]  

(4)

Therefore, it remains to show that the expressions in (4) may be simplified to the expressions given in the statement of the problem.

Note that \((\alpha^q - 1)(\beta^q - 1) = (-1)^q + 1 - L_{qk} = 1/N_{qk}\). Then

\[ S(\alpha^q) = (1 - \alpha^{qab}) / \{1 - \alpha^{qa}(1 - \alpha^{qb})\} - \alpha^{qab} / (1 - \alpha^q) \]

\[ = N_{qa} N_{qb} (1 - \beta^{qa}) (1 - \beta^{qb}) - N_q \alpha^{qab} (1 - \beta^q) \]

\[ = N_{qa} N_{qb} (1 - \alpha^{qab}) (1 - \beta^{qa} - \beta^{qb} + \beta^{q(a+b)}) - N_q \alpha^{qab} (1 - \beta^q) \]

or

\[ S(\alpha^q) = N_{qa} N_{qb} (1 - \beta^{qa} - \beta^{qb} + \beta^{q(a+b)}) - \alpha^{qab} + (-1)^q \alpha^{qab-q} + \alpha^{qab-q} \]

\[ + (-1)^q \alpha^{qab-q} + \alpha^{qab-q} \]

(5)

Likewise,

\[ S(\beta^q) = N_{qa} N_{qb} (1 - \alpha^{qa} - \alpha^{qb} + \alpha^{q(a+b)}) - \beta^{qab} + (-1)^q \beta^{qab-q} \]

\[ + (-1)^q \beta^{qab-q} + \alpha^{qab-q} \]

(6)

Now, adding the expressions in (5) and (6) (and using (4)), we obtain

\[ \sum_{N \in S} L_{qN} = N_{qa} N_{qb} (2 - L_{qk} + L_{q(a+b)q} - L_{qab} + (-1)^q (\alpha^{qab-q} + L_{q_{abq}} - (-1)^q L_{q_{abq})}) \]

which is seen to be equivalent to the result of Part a.

Subtracting the two expressions in (5) and (6) and dividing by \(5^{1/2}\) yields

\[ \sum_{N \in S} F_{qN} = N_{qa} N_{qb} (F_{qa} + F_{qb} - F_{q(a+b)q} - F_{qab} + (-1)^q F_{q_{abq}} - L_{q_{abq}} + (-1)^q L_{q_{abq}}) \]

\[ + (-1)^q F_{q_{abq}(a-1)} + (-1)^q F_{q_{abq}(a-1)} \]

which is seen to be equivalent to the result of Part b, except for a small error.

We note that the statement of the problem in the August 1999 issue of this journal contains a typographical error: the term in Part b that reads "+F_{q_{abq}(a-1)}" should read "-(-1)^q F_{q_{abq}(a-1)}". The problem is stated correctly above. □

Also solved by H.-J. Seiffert and the proposer.


384