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BASIC FORMULAS

The Fibonacci numbers \( F_n \) and the Lucas numbers \( L_n \) satisfy

\[
F_{n+2} = F_{n+1} + F_n, \quad F_0 = 0, \quad F_1 = 1;
\]

\[
L_{n+2} = L_{n+1} + L_n, \quad L_0 = 2, \quad L_1 = 1.
\]

Also, \( \alpha = (1 + \sqrt{5})/2 \), \( \beta = (1 - \sqrt{5})/2 \), \( F_n = (\alpha^n - \beta^n)/\sqrt{5} \), and \( L_n = \alpha^n + \beta^n \).

PROBLEMS PROPOSED IN THIS ISSUE

B-911 Proposed by M. N. Deshpande, Institute of Science, Nagpur, India

Determine whether \( L_n + 2(-1)^m L_{n-2m-1} \) is divisible by 5 for all positive integers \( m \) and \( n \).

B-912 Proposed by the editor

Express \( F_{n+1 + (n \mod 2)} \cdot L_{n+1 + (n \mod 2)} \) as a sum of Fibonacci numbers.

B-913 Proposed by Herbert S. Wilf, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA

Fix an integer \( k \geq 1 \). The Fibonacci numbers satisfy an "accelerated" recurrence of the form

\[
F_{n2^k} = \alpha_k F_{(n-1)2^k} - F_{(n-2)2^k} \quad (n = 2, 3, \ldots)
\]

with \( F_0 = 0 \) and \( F_2 \) to start the recurrence. For example, when \( k = 1 \), we have

\[
F_{2n} = 3F_{2(n-1)} - F_{2(n-2)} \quad (n = 2, 3, \ldots; F_0 = 0; F_2 = 1).
\]

a. Find the constant \( \alpha_k \) by identifying it as a certain member of a sequence that is known by readers of these pages.

b. Generalize this result by similarly identifying the constant \( \beta_m \) for which the accelerated recurrence

\[
F_{mn+h} = \beta_m F_{m(n-1)+h} + (-1)^{m+1} F_{m(n-2)+h},
\]

with appropriate initial conditions, holds.
B-914 Proposed by José Luis Diaz, Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya, Terrassa, Spain

Let \( n \geq 2 \) be an integer. Prove that

\[
\prod_{k=2}^{n} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{\frac{n}{k}} \frac{1}{F_{k+j} - 1} \right) \geq \frac{1}{F_{2}F_{n+1}} \left( \frac{n}{F_{2}F_{4} \ldots F_{n}} \right)^{2}.
\]

B-915 Proposed by Mohammad K. Azarian, University of Evansville, Evansville, IN

If \(|x| \leq 1\), prove that

\[
\left| \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{i} i2^{-i-1}F_j x^{-i} \right| < n^{3}.
\]

SOLUTIONS

An Exponential Equation with Fibonacci Base

B-894 Proposed by the editor
(Vol. 38, no. 1, February 2000)

Solve for \( x \): \( F_{110}^{x} + 442F_{115}^{x} + 13F_{119}^{x} = 221F_{114}^{x} + 255F_{117}^{x} \).

Solution by Paul S. Bruckman, Berkeley CA

The desired value of \( x \) must clearly be rational, if it exists at all. We may deduce the appropriate value of \( x \) by an approximation technique. If we replace \( F_{110} \) by \( u \), say, then it is approximately true that \( F_{114} = u\alpha^{4} \), \( F_{115} = u\alpha^{5} \), \( F_{117} = u\alpha^{7} \), and \( F_{119} = u\alpha^{9} \). Therefore, the desired equation is replaced by the following approximate equation:

\[
13\alpha^{9x} - 255\alpha^{7x} + 442\alpha^{5x} - 221\alpha^{4x} + 1 = 0.
\]

Let \( G(x) \) represent the expression in the left member of (1). It is easily found that \( G(0) = -20 \). We may also compute the following approximate values: \( G(1) \approx -3027.513 \), \( G(2) \approx -95869.589 \), \( G(3) = 0 \) (exactly), \( G(4) \approx 25899210^{8} \). Clearly, \( G(x) \) increases without bound for all \( x \geq 4 \), since the term \( 13\alpha^{9x} \) dominates \( G(x) \). Therefore, it appears that \( x = 3 \) is the unique desired solution; however, this must be verified in the exact (original) equation.

The cubes of the Fibonacci numbers have a characteristic polynomial of degree 4; if \( P_{3}(z) \) is this polynomial, it is easily seen that

\[
P_{3}(z) = (z - \alpha^{3})(z - \alpha^{2} \beta)(z - \alpha^{\beta^{3}})(z - \beta^{3}) = (z^{2} - 4z - 1)(z^{2} + z - 1)
\]

or

\[
P_{3}(z) = z^{4} - 3z^{3} - 6z^{2} + 3z + 1.
\]

That is, we have the following recurrence relation for the cubes of the Fibonacci numbers, valid for all \( n \):

\[
(F_{n+4})^{3} - 3(F_{n+3})^{3} - 6(F_{n+2})^{3} + 3(F_{n+1})^{3} + (F_{n})^{3} = 0.
\]

Using (3), we need to verify the following relation:

\[
13(F_{119})^{3} - 255(F_{117})^{3} + 442(F_{115})^{3} - 221(F_{114})^{3} + (F_{110})^{3} = 0.
\]

From (3), we gather that
(F_{110})^3 = -(F_{114})^3 + 3(F_{113})^3 + 6(F_{112})^3 - 3(F_{111})^3.

Also,

(F_{119})^3 = 3(F_{118})^3 + 6(F_{117})^3 - 3(F_{116})^3 - (F_{113})^3;

thus, if \( S \) represents the expression in the left member of (4), we obtain (after some simplification):

\[
S = 39(F_{118})^3 - 177(F_{117})^3 - 39(F_{116})^3 + 429(F_{115})^3 - 222(F_{114})^3 + 3(F_{113})^3 + 6(F_{112})^3 - 3(F_{111})^3.
\]

Also,

(F_{111})^3 = -(F_{115})^3 + 3(F_{114})^3 + 6(F_{113})^3 - 3(F_{112})^3

and

(F_{118})^3 = 3(F_{117})^3 + 6(F_{116})^3 - 3(F_{115})^3 - (F_{114})^3.

After further simplification, we obtain:

\[
S = -60(F_{117})^3 + 195(F_{116})^3 + 315(F_{115})^3 - 270(F_{114})^3 - 15(F_{113})^3 + 15(F_{112})^3.
\]

Finally, we make the substitutions:

(F_{112})^3 = -(F_{116})^3 + 3(F_{115})^3 + 6(F_{114})^3 - 3(F_{113})^3

and

(F_{117})^3 = 3(F_{116})^3 + 6(F_{115})^3 - 3(F_{114})^3 - (F_{113})^3.

Then, after further simplification, we obtain \( S = 0 \), identically. This establishes (4) and shows that \( x = 3 \) is the unique solution to the problem.

Brian D. Beasley noted that the solution \( x = 3 \) works for \( n, n+5, n+9, n+4, n+7 \) in place of 110, 115, 119, 114, and 117, respectively.

Also solved by Brian D. Beasley, Indulis Strazdins, and the proposer.

A Recurrence for \( F_{n^2} \)

B-895  Proposed by Indulis Strazdins, Riga Technical University, Latvia  
(Vol. 38, no. 2, May 2000)

Find a recurrence for \( F_{n^2} \).

Solution by Paul S. Bruckman, Berkeley CA

For brevity, write \( Q_n = F_{n^2} \). We may easily demonstrate that the following recurrence relation is satisfied:

\[ Q_{n+1} - Q_{n-1} = F_{2n}L_{n^2+1}. \]  \hspace{1cm} (1)

We may verify (1), using the identity,

\[ F_u L_v = F_{u+v} - (-1)^u F_{v-u}, \]  \hspace{1cm} (2)

by setting \( u = 2n, v = n^2 + 1 \). Also, setting \( u = 4n, v = n^2 + 4 \) yields

\[ Q_{n+2} - Q_{n-2} = F_{4n}L_{n^2+4}. \]  \hspace{1cm} (3)

Now we note (or easily verify) the following identities:
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\[ L_{m+4} = 7L_{m+1} - 10F_m \quad (4) \]

and

\[ F_{4n} = F_{2n}L_{2n}. \quad (5) \]

Then, setting \( m = n^2 \) in (4) we obtain, from (1) and (3):

\[ Q_{n+2} - Q_{n+1} - 7L_{2n}(Q_{n+1} - Q_{n-1}) + 10F_{4n}Q_n = 0. \quad (6) \]

Since \( Q_n = Q_n \), we see that (6) is valid for all integral \( n \). We may also express (6) in the asymmetric form:

\[ Q_{n+4} = 7L_{2n+4}Q_{n+4} - 10F_{4n+8}Q_{n+2} - 7L_{2n+4}Q_{n+1} + Q_n. \quad (7) \]

It does not appear that we can obtain a linear recurrence for the \( Q_n \)'s that contains constant coefficients.

H.-J. Seiffert gave the formula

\[ G_{n+2} = \frac{(F_{2n+1}L_{2n+3} - 1)G_{n+1} + F_{2n+3}G_n}{F_{2n+1}}, \]

where \( G_n = F_n^2 \), and L. A. G. Dresel gave the formula

\[ T_{n+1} = \frac{1}{2}(S_n + T_n)L_{2n} - T_{n-1}, \]

where \( S_n = F_n^2 \) and \( T_n = L_n \). He also noted that the factor \( L_{2n} \) occurring in the above formula can be obtained from the formula \( L_{2(n+1)} = 3L_{2n} - L_{2(n-1)} \).

Also solved by L. A. G. Dresel, H.-J. Seiffert, and the proposer.

An Independent Constant Fibonacci Sum

B-896 Proposed by Andrew Casumano, Great Neck, NY
(Vol. 38, no. 2, May 2000)

Find an integer \( k \) such that the expression

\[ F_n^4 + 2F_n^2F_{n+1} + kF_n^2F_{n+1} - 2F_n^2F_{n+1} + F_{n+1}^2 \]

is a constant independent of \( n \).

Solution by Kee-Wai Lau, Hong Kong, China

We show that, for \( k = 1 \), the given expression equals 1. This amounts to proving that

\[ F_n^4 + 2F_n^2F_{n+1} - 2F_n^2F_{n+1} + F_{n+1}^2 - 1 = 0. \quad (1) \]

In fact, the left-hand side of (1) equals

\[
\begin{align*}
&F_n(F_n + F_{n+1})(F_n - F_{n+1})(F_{n+1} + (F_{n+1} + F_n)) + (F_{n+1}^2 - 1)(F_{n+1}^2 - 1) \\
&= -F_nF_{n+2}F_{n+3} + (F_{n+1}^2 + 1)(F_{n+1}^2 - 1).
\end{align*}
\]

Hence, to prove (1), it suffices to show that

\[ F_{n+1}^2 - F_nF_{n+2} = (-1)^n \quad (2) \]

and

\[ F_{n+1}^2 - F_{n-1}F_{n+3} = (-1)^{n-1}. \quad (3) \]

However, both (2) and (3) can be established readily by using the relation
\[ F_n = \frac{\alpha^n - \beta^n}{\sqrt{5}}, \text{ where } \alpha > 0, \alpha + \beta = 1, \text{ and } \alpha \beta = -1. \]

This completes the solution.

R. J. Hendel noted that the result follows from the "verification theorem" in L. A. G. Dresel's article "Transformation of Fibonacci-Lucas Identities" in Application of Fibonacci Numbers 5, ed. G. E. Bergum et al. (Dordrecht: Kluwer, 1993), after one trivially verifies that, for \( k = -1 \), the expression evaluates to 1 for \( n = -2 \) to \( n = 2 \).


**An Initial Value Problem**

**B-897** Proposed by Brian D. Beasley, Presbyterian College, Clinton, SC (Vol. 38, no. 2, May 2000)

Define \( \langle a_n \rangle \) by \( a_{n+3} = 2a_{n+2} + 2a_{n+1} - a_n \) for \( n \geq 0 \) with initial conditions \( a_0 = 4 \), \( a_1 = 2 \), and \( a_2 = 10 \). Express \( a_n \) in terms of Fibonacci and/or Lucas numbers.

**Solution by Richard André-Jeannin, Cosnes et Romain, France**

The characteristic polynomial of the proposed recurrence can be easily factorized:

\[ X^3 - 2X^2 - 2X + 1 = (X - \alpha^2)(X - \beta^2)(X + 1). \]

From this, we see that there exist constants \( A, B, \) and \( C \) such that \( a_n = A\alpha^{2n} + B\beta^{2n} + C(-1)^n \).

Considering the initial conditions, we obtain the linear system:

\[
\begin{align*}
A + B + C &= 4, \\
A\alpha^2 + B\beta^2 - C &= 2, \\
A\alpha^4 + B\beta^4 + C &= 10.
\end{align*}
\]

After some calculations, we get \( A = B = \frac{6}{5} \) and \( C = \frac{8}{5} \); thus,

\[
a_n = \frac{6(\alpha^{2n} + \beta^{2n}) + 8(-1)^n}{5} = \frac{6L_{2n} + 8(-1)^n}{5}
\begin{align*}
&= L_{2n} + 2(-1)^n + \frac{L_{2n} - 2(-1)^n}{5} = L_n^2 + F_n^2.
\end{align*}
\]


**Some Fibonacci Sum**

**B-898** Proposed by Alexandru Lupaș, Sibiu, Romania (Vol. 38, no. 2, May 2000)

Evaluate

\[
\sum_{k=0}^{s} (-1)^{s-k} (2s+1) \binom{2s+1}{s-k} F_n^{(2s+1)}.
\]
Solution by L. A. G. Dresel, Reading, England

Denoting the given expression by \( E(s, n) \), consider the \( 2s + 2 \) terms of the expansion of \((\alpha^n - \beta^n)^{2s+1}\). The two central terms combine to give

\[
\binom{2s+1}{s}(-\alpha^n\beta^n)^s(\alpha^n - \beta^n) = \binom{2s+1}{s}(-1)^{s(s-1)/2}(\sqrt{5})F_n.
\]

Then proceeding outward and combining pairs of terms equidistant from the center, we obtain

\((\alpha^n - \beta^n)^{2s+1} = (\sqrt{5})E(s, n)\). Therefore, since \((\alpha^n - \beta^n) = (\sqrt{5})F_n\), we have \( E(s, n) = 5'(F_n)^{2s+1} \).

Note: The result corresponds to equation (80) in *Fibonacci & Lucas Numbers, and the Golden Section*, by S. Vajda (Chichester: Ellis Horwood Ltd., 1989).

Don Redmond obtained a similar result for a Lucas analog to the problem. He showed that

\[
\sum_{k=0}^{2s} (-1)^{n(s-k)} \binom{2s+1}{s-k} I_{n(2k+1)} = r^{2s+1}.
\]


On a Bruckman Conjecture

A Comment by N. Gauthier, Canada

In the Feb. 2000 issue of this quarterly, Dr. Rabinowitz published the solution to Elementary Problem B-871 by Paul S. Bruckman ["Absolute Sums," *The Fibonacci Quarterly* 38.1 (2000):86-87]. In a footnote to Indulis Strazdins' solution, Dr. Rabinowitz then commented that "Bruckman noted that

\[
\sum_{k=0}^{2n} \binom{2n}{k} |n-k| = n \binom{2n}{n}
\]

and conjectured that

\[
\sum_{k=0}^{2n} \binom{2n}{k} |n-k|^{2r-1} = P_r(n) \binom{2n}{n}
\]

for some monic polynomial \( P_r(n) \) of degree \( r \)." Here, \( n \geq 0 \) and \( r \geq 1 \) are integers.

Professor Bruckman's conjecture about the general form of the latter sum seems to be correct based on evidence I collected with MAPLE V(R), but contrary to the conjecture, \( P_r(n) \) is generally not a monic polynomial in \( n \). From the evidence collected, the leading coefficient of \( P_r(n) \) appears to be equal to \((r-1)!\); for \( r = 1 \) and \( r = 2 \), one then finds the leading terms to be \( n \) and \( n^2 \), respectively, in agreement with the values presented in the solution of problem B-871. But, for \( r \geq 3 \), the leading term of \( P_r(n) \) is \((r-1)!n^r\).

The Bruckman polynomials \( P_r(n) \) were obtained for \( 1 \leq r \leq 20 \), using MAPLE V(R), by noting that

\[
P_r(n) = \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{k=0}^{2n} \binom{2n}{k} |n-k|^{2r-1} = \frac{2}{2n} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{2n}{k} (n-k)^{2r-1}
\]

and by asking MAPLE for \( P_r(n) \). For easy reference, here are the values of \( P_r(n) \) for \( r = 3, 4, 5, \) and 6:

- \( P_3(n) = 2n^3 - n^2; \)
- \( P_4(n) = 6n^4 - 8n^3 + 3n^2; \)
- \( P_5(n) = 24n^5 - 60n^4 + 54n^3 - 17n^2; \)
- \( P_6(n) = 120n^6 - 480n^5 + 762n^4 - 556n^3 + 155n^2. \)

(I will gladly provide the polynomials for \( 7 \leq r \leq 20 \) on request for interested readers who might not have access to MAPLE.)

\( \diamond \)