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Send all communications concerning Advanced Problems and Solutions to Raymond Whitney, Mathematics Department, Lock Haven State College, Lock Haven, Pennsylvania. This department especially welcomes problems believed to be new or extending old results. Proposers should submit solutions or other information that will assist the editor. To facilitate their consideration, solutions should be submitted on separate signed sheets within three months after publication of the problems.
NOTICE: PLEASE SEND ALL SOLUTIONS AND NEW PROPOSALS TO PROFESSOR RAYMOND E. WHITNEY, MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT, LOCK HAVEN STATE COLLEGE, LOCK HAVEN, PA。

## H-103 Proposed by David Zeitlin, Minneapolis, Minnesota.

Show that

$$
8 \sum_{k=0}^{n} \mathrm{~F}_{3 \mathrm{k}+1} \mathrm{~F}_{3 \mathrm{k}+2} \mathrm{~F}_{6 \mathrm{k}+3}=\mathrm{F}_{3 \mathrm{n}+3}^{4}
$$

H-104 Proposed by Verner E. Hoggatt, Jr., San Jose State College, San Jose, Calif。

Show

$$
\frac{L_{m} X}{1-5 F_{m} X+(-1)^{m+1} 5 X^{2}}=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 5^{k}\left(F_{2 m k}+X_{(2 k+1) m}\right) X^{2 k}
$$

where $L_{m}$ and $F_{m}$ are the $m^{\text {th }}$ Lucas and Fibonacci numbers, respectively.

H-105 Proposed by Edgar Karst, Norman, Oklahoma, and S. O. Rorem, Davenport, lowa.
Show for all positive integral $n$ and primes $p>2$ that

$$
(\mathrm{n}+1)^{\mathrm{p}}-\mathrm{n}^{\mathrm{p}}=6 \mathrm{~N}+1
$$

where N is a positive integer. Generalize.

H-106 Proposed by L. Carlitz, Duke University, Durham, N. Carolina.

- Show that
a)

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{k}^{2} L_{2 k}=\sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{k}\binom{n+k}{k} L_{n-k}
$$

b)

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{k}^{2} F_{2 k}=\sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{k}\binom{n+k}{k} F_{n-k}
$$

H-107 Proposed by Vladimir Ivanoff, San Carlos, California.

Show that

$$
\left|\begin{array}{lll}
F_{p+2 n} & F_{p+n} & F_{p} \\
F_{q+2 n} & F_{q+n} & F_{q} \\
F_{r+2 n} & F_{r+n} & F_{r}
\end{array}\right|=0
$$

for all integers $p, q, r$, and $n$.

## H-108 Proposed by H. E. Huntley, Hutton, Somerset, U.K.

Find the sides of a tetrahedron, the faces of which are all scalene triangles similar to each other, and having sides of integral lengths.

H-109 Proposed by George Ledin, Jro, San Francisco, California.
Solve

$$
\mathrm{X}^{2}+\mathrm{Y}^{2}+1=3 X Y
$$

for all integral solutions and consequently derive the identity:

$$
\mathrm{F}_{6 \mathrm{k}+7}^{2}+\mathrm{F}_{6 \mathrm{k}+5}^{2}+1=3 \mathrm{~F}_{6 \mathrm{k}+7} \mathrm{~F}_{6 \mathrm{k}+5}
$$

H-110 Proposed by George Ledin, Jr., San Francisco, California
Evaluate the double sum

$$
S_{n}=\sum_{m=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} F_{\left[\frac{m}{k}\right]}
$$

where [ x ] is the greatest integer in x .
H-111 Proposed by John L. Brown, Jr., Pennsylvania State University, State College, Pennsylvania.

Show that

$$
L_{\mathrm{n}}=\prod_{\mathrm{k}=1}^{\left[\frac{\mathrm{n}}{2}\right]}\left\{1+4 \cos ^{2} \frac{2 \mathrm{k}-1}{\mathrm{n}}\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)\right\} \text { for } \mathrm{n} \geq 1
$$

H-112 Proposed by L. Carlitz, Duke University, Durham, N. Carolina.
Show that, for $n \geq 1$,
a) $\quad \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{n}+1}^{5}-\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{n}}^{5}-\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{n}-1}^{5}=5 \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{n}+1} \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{n}-1}\left(2 \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{n}}^{2}-5(-1)^{\mathrm{n}}\right)$
b) $\quad \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}+1}^{5}-\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}}^{5}-\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}-1}^{5}=5 \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{n}+1} \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}-1}\left(2 \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{n}}^{2}+(-1)^{\mathrm{n}}\right)$
c) $\quad L_{n+1}^{7}-L_{n}^{7}-L_{n-1}^{7}=7 L_{n+1} L_{n} L_{n-1}\left(2 L_{n}^{2}-5(-1)^{n}\right)^{2}$
d) $\quad \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}+1}^{7}-\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}}^{7}-\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}-1}^{7}=7 \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{n}+1} \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}-1}\left(2 \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{n}}^{2}+(-1)^{\mathrm{n}}\right)^{2}$.

## SOLUTIONS

## NO SOLUTIONS RECEIVED

H-59 Proposed by D. W. Robinson, Brigham Young University, Provo, Utah.
Show that, if $m>2$, then the period of the Fibonacci sequence 0,1 , $1,2,3, \cdots, F_{n}, \cdots$ reduced modulo $m$ is twice the least positive integer $n$ such that $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}+1}=(-1)^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}-1}(\bmod \mathrm{~m})$.

H-60 Proposed by Verner E. Hoggatt, San Jose State College, San Jose, Calif.
It is well known that if $p_{k}$ is the least integer such that $F_{n+p k}=F_{n}$ $\bmod 10^{\mathrm{k}}$, then $\mathrm{p}_{1}=60, \mathrm{p}_{2}=300$ and $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{k}}=1.5 \times 10^{\mathrm{k}}$ for $\mathrm{k} \geq 3$. If $\mathrm{Q}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{k})$ is the $k^{\text {th }}$ digit of the $n^{\text {th }}$ Fibonacci, then for fixed $k, Q(n, k)$ is periodic, that is $q_{k}$ is the least integer such that $Q\left(n+q_{k}, k\right)=Q(n, k) \bmod 10$. Find an explicit expression for $q_{k}$.
H-62 Proposed by H. W. Gould, W. Virginia University, Morgantown, West Virginia (corrected).
Find all polynomials $f(x)$ and $g(x)$, of the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(x+1) & =\sum_{j=0}^{r} a_{j} x^{j}, \quad a_{j} \text { an integer } \\
g(x) & =\sum_{j=0}^{s} b_{j} x^{j}, \quad b_{j} \text { an integer }
\end{aligned}
$$

such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
2\left\{\mathrm{x}^{2} \mathrm{f}^{3}(\mathrm{x}+1)\right. & \left.-(\mathrm{x}+1)^{2} \mathrm{~g}^{3}(\mathrm{x})\right\}+3\left\{\mathrm{x}^{2} \mathrm{f}^{2}(\mathrm{x}+1)-(\mathrm{x}+1)^{2} \mathrm{~g}^{2}(\mathrm{x})\right\} \\
& +(2 \mathrm{x}+1)\{\mathrm{xf}(\mathrm{x}+1)-(\mathrm{x}+1) \mathrm{g}(\mathrm{x})\}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

LIMIT OF LIMITS
H-61 Proposed by P. F. Byrd, San Jose State College, San Jose, Calif. (corrected) Let

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{k}}=0 \text { for } 0 \leq \mathrm{n} \leq \mathrm{k}-2, \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{k}-1, \mathrm{k}}=1 \text { and } \\
\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{k}}=\sum_{\mathrm{j}=1}^{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{j}, \mathrm{k}} \quad \text { for } \mathrm{n} \geq \mathrm{k}
\end{gathered}
$$

Show that

$$
\frac{1}{2}<\frac{f_{n, k}}{f_{n+1, k}}<\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2 k} \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty
$$

Hence

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f_{n, k}}{f_{n+1, k}}=\frac{1}{2}
$$

Solution by Douglas Lind, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, Virginia.

The sequence $\left\{\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{k}}\right\}_{\mathrm{n}=0}^{\infty}$ obeys a recurrence whose auxiliary polynomial is

$$
f(x)=x^{k}-x^{k-1}-x^{k-2}-\cdots-x-1
$$

Let $r_{1, k}, r_{2, k}, \cdots, r_{k, k}$ be the $k$ roots of $f(x)=0$. The $k$ initial conditions given determine constants $b_{1, k}, b_{2, k}, \cdots, b_{k, k}$ such that

$$
f_{n, k}=\sum_{j=1}^{k} b_{j, k} r_{j, k}^{n}
$$

Now Miles ["Generalized Fibonacci Numbers and Associated Matrices," Amer. Math. Monthly, Vol. 67, pp. 745-57] has shown that all but one of the roots $r_{j, k}$ lie within the unit circle, so that $\left|r_{j, k}\right|<1(1 \leq j<k)$. Note that $\mathrm{f}(1)=1-\mathrm{k}<0, \mathrm{f}(2)=1$, and since f is continuous, the remaining root $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{k}, \mathrm{k}}$ must be a real number between 1 and 2 . Then $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{k}, \mathrm{k}} \neq 0$, because $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} r_{j, k}^{n}=0(1 \leq j<k)$ while $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} f_{n, k}=\infty$. We also have

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{r_{j, k}^{n}}{r_{k, k}^{n}}=0 \quad(1 \leq j<k)
$$

so that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} f_{n, k} \|_{n+1, k}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} b_{j, k} r_{j, k}^{n}\right) /\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} b_{j, k} r_{j, k}^{n+1}\right)=1 / r_{k, k}
$$

We have already shown $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{k}, \mathrm{k}}<2$. Now

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (2 \mathrm{k})^{\mathrm{k}}-(2 \mathrm{k})^{\mathrm{k}-1}(\mathrm{k}+1)-(2 \mathrm{k})^{\mathrm{k}-2}(\mathrm{k}+1)^{2}-\cdots-(2 \mathrm{k})(\mathrm{k}+1)^{\mathrm{k}-1}-(\mathrm{k}+1)^{\mathrm{k}} \\
< & (2 \mathrm{k})^{\mathrm{k}}-(2 \mathrm{k})^{\mathrm{k}-1} \mathrm{k}-(2 \mathrm{k})^{\mathrm{k}-2} \mathrm{k}-\cdots-(2 \mathrm{k}) \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{k}-1}-\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{k}}-\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{k}} \\
= & 2^{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{k}}-\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{k}}\left(2^{\mathrm{k}-1}+2^{\mathrm{k}-2}+\cdots+2+1+1\right)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

and division by $(\mathrm{k}+1)^{\mathrm{k}}$ shows

$$
f\left(\frac{2 \mathrm{k}}{\mathrm{k}+1}\right)<0
$$

Since

$$
1<\frac{2 \mathrm{k}}{\mathrm{k}+1}<2
$$

we have $2>r_{k, k}>2 k /(k+1)$, and inversion gives the first result of the problem. The second result follows by taking limits as $\mathrm{k} \longrightarrow \infty$.

ODD ROW SUMS OF FIBONOMIAL COEFFICIENTS
H-63 Proposed by Stephen Jerbic, San Jose State College, San Jose, California.
Let

$$
F(m, 0)=1 \text { and } F(m, n)=\frac{F_{m} F_{m-1} \cdots F_{m-n+1}}{F_{n} F_{n-1} \cdots F_{1}} \quad 0<n \leq m
$$

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{2 m-1} F(2 m-1, n)=\prod_{i=0}^{m-1} L_{2 i}, \quad m \geq 1
$$

Solution by Douglas Lind, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, Virginia.

$$
\text { Put } \quad S_{n}=\sum_{r=0}^{n} F(n, r)
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{x})=\sum_{\mathrm{r}=0}^{\mathrm{n}}(-1)^{\mathrm{r}(\mathrm{r}+1) / 2} \mathrm{~F}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{r}) \mathrm{x}^{\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{r}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Brennan ("Fibonacci Powers and Pascal's Triangle in a Matrix," Fibonacci Quarterly, Vol. 2, No. 2, pp. 93-103) has shown

$$
\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{x})=(-1)^{\mathrm{n}-2}\left(\mathrm{x}^{2}-\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{n}-1} \mathrm{x}+(-1)^{\mathrm{n}-1}\right) \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{n}-2}(-\mathrm{x})
$$

where $L_{n}$ is the $n{ }^{\text {th }}$ Lucas number. Setting $x=\sqrt{-1,} n=2 m+1$, wefind

$$
\mathrm{f}_{2 \mathrm{~m}+1}(\mathrm{i})=\mathrm{iL}_{2 \mathrm{~m}^{\mathrm{f}}}{ }_{2 \mathrm{~m}-1}(-\mathrm{i})
$$

Using (1) this becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{r=0}^{m} F(2 m+1,2 r)+i \sum_{r=0}^{m} F & (2 m+1,2 r+1) \\
& =L_{2 m} \sum_{r=0}^{m-1} F(2 m-1,2 r+1)+i L_{2 m} \sum_{r=0}^{m-1} F(2 m-1,2 r)
\end{aligned}
$$

and so equating real and imaginary parts, taking absolute values, and adding we get $S_{2 \mathrm{~m}+1}=\mathrm{L}_{2 \mathrm{~m}} \mathrm{~S}_{2 \mathrm{~m}-1}$ which, with $\mathrm{S}_{1}=2=\mathrm{L}_{0}$, proves the proposition.

## ONE OF MANY FORMS

## H-64 Proposed by Douglas Lind, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, Virginia.

Show
where $F_{n}$ is the $n^{\text {th }}$ Fibonacci number.
Solution by David Zeitlin, Minneapolis, Minnesota.
For a generalization, let $W_{0}, W_{1}, C \neq 0$, and $d \neq 0$ be arbitrary real numbers, and define $W_{n+2}=d W_{n+1}-c W_{n}, n=0,1, \cdots$, with $d^{2}-4 c \neq 0$ 。 We define $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{n}} \equiv \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{n}=0,1, \cdots$, when $\mathrm{W}_{0}=1$ and $\mathrm{W}_{1}=\mathrm{d}$; and set $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}}$
$=W_{n}, \mathrm{n}=0,1, \cdots$, when $\mathrm{W}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{W}_{1}=1$. In terms of Chebyshev polynomials of the first kind, $T_{n}(x)$, and of the second kind $U_{n}(x)$, it is readily verified that
(1) $\quad \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}+1} \equiv \mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{n} / 2} \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{n}}\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}}{2 \sqrt{\mathrm{c}}}\right) ; \quad \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{n}} \equiv 2 \mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{n} / 2} \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{n}}\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}}{2 \sqrt{\mathrm{c}}}\right)$

Since

$$
U_{n}(x)=2^{n} \prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(x-\cos \frac{j \Pi}{n+1}\right), T_{n}(x)=2^{n-1} \prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(x-\cos \frac{(2 j-1) \Pi}{2 n}\right)
$$

we obtain from (1)
(2)

$$
\begin{aligned}
Z_{n+1} & =c^{n / 2} \prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(\frac{d}{\sqrt{c}}-2 \cos \frac{j \Pi}{n+1}\right) \\
V_{n} & =c^{n / 2} \prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(\frac{d}{\sqrt{c}}-2 \cos \frac{(2 j-1) \Pi}{2 n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

If $d=1$ and $c=-1$, then $Z_{n}=F_{n}$ and $V_{n}=L_{n}$. Since $-1=i^{2}$, we obtain from (2) and (3), respectively,
(5)

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{n+1}=\prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(1-2 i \cos \frac{j \Pi}{n+1}\right)  \tag{4}\\
& L_{n}=\prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(1-2 i \cos \frac{(2 j-1) \Pi}{2 n}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Also solved by F. D. Parker, John L. Brown, Jr., and the proposer.

## FIBONACCI RELATED NUMBER

## H-65 Proposed by J. Wlodarski, Porz-Westhoven, Federal Republic of Germany.

The units digit of a positive integer, $M$, is 9 . Take the 9 and put it on the left of the remaining digits of $M$ forming a new integer, $N$, such that $N=9 M$. Find the smallest $M$ for which this is possible.

Solution by Robert H. Anglin, Danville, Va., and Murray Berg, Oakland, Calif.

$$
\mathrm{M}=9+\sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}} 10^{\mathrm{i}}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
N=\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} 10^{i-1}+9 \cdot 10^{n}=9 M \\
10 N=90 M=\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} 10^{i}+90 \cdot 10^{n}=M-9+90 \cdot 10^{n} \\
89 M=9\left(10^{n+1}-1\right) \\
M=\frac{9\left(10^{n+1}-1\right)}{89}=\frac{89999 \cdot \cdots 991}{89}
\end{gathered}
$$

By performing the actual division the first zero occurs when the quotient is $\mathrm{M}=1011 \underline{23595} \underline{50561} \underline{79775} \underline{28089} \underline{88764} \underline{04494} \underline{38202} \underline{24719}$
Wlodarski notes

$$
M=10^{43}+\left[10^{41} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{F_{m}}{10^{m}}\right]
$$

where $[x]$ is the greatest integer function in $x$.
Also solved by Mariorie Bicknell, James Desmond, A. B. Western, Jr., C.B.A. Peck, and the proposer.

## A STIRLING NUMBER SOLUTION

H-66 Proposed by Douglas Lind, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, Va., and Raymond E. Whitney, Lock Haven State College, Lock Haven, Pennsylvania. Let

$$
\sum_{j=0}^{k} a_{j} y_{n+j}=0
$$

be a linear homogeneous recurrence relation with constant coefficients $a_{j}$. Let the roots of the auxiliary polynomial

$$
\sum_{j=0}^{k} a_{j} x^{j}=0
$$

be $r_{1}, r_{2}, \cdots, r_{m}$ and each root $r_{i}$ be of multiplicity $m_{i}(i=1,2, \cdots, m)$.
Jeske (Linear Recurrence Relations - Part I, Fibonacci Quarterly, Vol. No. 2, pp. 69-74) showed that

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} y_{n} \frac{t^{n}}{n!}=\sum_{i=1}^{m} e^{r_{i} t^{m_{i}-1}} \sum_{j=0} b_{i j} t^{j}
$$

He also stated that from this we may obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{n}=\sum_{i=1}^{m} r_{i}^{n} \sum_{j=0}^{m_{i}^{-1}} b_{i j} n^{j} . \tag{}
\end{equation*}
$$

(i) Show that ( $\star$ ) is in general incorrect, (ii) state under what conditions it yields the correct result, and (iii) give the correct formulation.

## Solution by the proposers .

Let $s_{i}=m_{i}-1$, and put

$$
Y(t)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} y_{n} \frac{t^{n}}{n!}=\sum_{i=1}^{m} e^{r_{i} t^{\frac{s}{i}}} \sum_{j=0} b_{i j} t^{j} .
$$

Now define $n_{(s)}=n(n-1) \cdots(n-s+1), n_{(0)}=1$, and for $k=1,2, \ldots, m$ let
so that

$$
Y_{k}(t)=e^{r_{k} t^{S_{k}}} \sum_{j=0} b_{k j} t^{j}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
Y_{k}(t) & =\sum_{v=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{s_{k}} b_{k j} \frac{r_{k}^{v_{k} t^{v+j}}}{v!} \\
& =\sum_{\mathrm{v}=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{s_{k}} b_{k j} r_{k}^{v}(v+j){ }_{(j)} \frac{t^{v+j}}{(v+j)!}
\end{aligned}
$$

For $p=0,1, \cdots, s_{k}$ put

$$
Y_{k, p}(t)=\sum_{v=0}^{\infty} b_{k p} r_{k}^{v}(v+p)(p) \frac{t^{v+p}}{(v+p)!}
$$

Differentiating this n times and setting $\mathrm{t}=0$,
$Y_{k, p}^{(n)}(0)=\left.\sum_{v=0}^{\infty} b_{k p} r_{k}^{v}(v+p){ }_{(p)} \frac{t^{v+p-n}}{(v+p-n)!}\right|_{t=0}=b_{k p} r_{k}^{n-p_{n}}(p)=r_{k}^{n}\left(b_{k p} n^{n}(p)^{r_{k}^{-p}}\right)$.
Thus applying the inverse transform (3.3), we find

$$
y_{n}=Y^{(n)}(0)=\sum_{k=1}^{m} \sum_{p=0}^{s_{k}} Y_{k_{s} p}^{(n)}(0)=\sum_{i=1}^{m} r_{i} \sum_{j=0}^{m_{i}-1} b_{i j} n_{(j)} r_{i}^{-j}
$$

which is the correct form.
(ii) If $m_{i}=1,(i=1,2, \cdots, m)$, then since $n_{(0)}=n^{0}$, Jeske ${ }^{\mathbf{t}}$, form gives the correct result. Also, since $n_{(1)}=n^{1}$, his result will be correct if all roots of multiplicity two are one, and there are no roots of greater multiplicity. For higher multiplicities his form almost never gives the correct result.
(i) We need only take a recurrence whose auxiliary equation does not satisfy the conditions of (ii) to form a counterexample to ( $\star$ ).

## Also solved by P. F. Byrd and D. Zeitlin.

Editorial Comment: The $b_{i j}$ in the first displayed equation above are arbitrary constants. The $b_{i j}$ in the second displayed equation are also arbitrary constants. In this sense Jeske is correct. However, most readers would probably incorrectly infer that after you have determined the specific constants for a given problem one can then use these in the second displayed equation which, of course, is not true in all cases. V. E. H.

## AN INTERESTING ANGLE

## H-67 Proposed by J. W. Gootherts, Sunnyvale, California.

Let $B=\left(B_{0}, B_{1}, \cdots, B_{n}\right)$ and $V=\left(F_{m}, F_{m+1}, \cdots, F_{m+n}\right)$ betwo vectors in Euclidian $\mathrm{n}+1$ space. The $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{i}}$ 's are binomial coefficients of degree n and the $F_{m+i}{ }^{\prime} s$ are consecutive Fibonacci numbers starting at any integer $m_{0}$ Find the limit of the angle between these vectors as n approaches infinity.

## Solution by F. D. Parker, Sony at Buffalo, N.Y.

We start with the formula

$$
\cos ^{2} \theta=\frac{(\mathrm{B} \cdot \mathrm{~V})^{2}}{|\mathrm{~B}|^{2}|\mathrm{~V}|^{2}}
$$

where $B \cdot V$ is the scalar product of $B$ and $V$, and $|B|,|V|$ represent the magnitudes of $B$ and $V$, respectively.

The following results are easy to verify by mathematical induction:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{B} \cdot \mathrm{~V}=\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{m}+2 \mathrm{n}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
|B|=\sqrt{\binom{2 n}{n}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
|V|=\sqrt{F_{m+n} F_{m+n+1}-F_{m-1} F_{m}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus

$$
\frac{|B \cdot V|^{2}}{|B|^{2}|V|^{2}}=\frac{\left(F_{m+2 n}\right)^{2}}{\binom{2 n}{n}\left(F_{m+n} F_{m+n+1}-F_{m-1} F_{m}\right)}
$$

But

$$
\begin{gathered}
\lim _{\mathrm{n} \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left(\mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{m}+2 \mathrm{n}}\right)^{2}}{\mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{m}+\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{m}+\mathrm{n+1}}-\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{m}-1} \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{m}}}=0, \quad \text { and } \\
\lim _{\mathrm{n} \rightarrow \infty}\binom{2 \mathrm{n}}{\mathrm{n}}=\infty, \text { and hence } \underset{\mathrm{n} \rightarrow \infty}{ } \lim _{\cos \theta=0, \text { and }} \cos \\
\lim _{\mathrm{n} \rightarrow \infty} \theta=\pi / 2 .
\end{gathered}
$$

Also solved by the proposer.

## MANY ROADS TO MORGANTOWN

H-68 Proposed by H. W. Gould, W. Virginia University, Morgantown, W. Va.
Prove that

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{F_{k}} \geq \frac{n^{2}}{F_{n+2}-1} \quad, \quad n \geq 1
$$

with equality only for $n=1,2$.
Solution by the proposer.
The well-known identity

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} A_{i} \sum_{j=1}^{n} B_{j}=n \sum_{i=1}^{n} A_{i} B_{i}+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(A_{i}-A_{j}\right)\left(B_{j}-B_{i}\right)
$$

yields the special case

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} A_{i} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{1}{A_{j}}=n^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\left(A_{i}-A_{j}\right)^{2}}{A_{i} A_{j}}
$$

whence it is evident that for positive $A^{\prime}$ 's we have the inequality

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} A_{i} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{1}{A_{j}} \geq n^{2}
$$

with equality only when $A_{i}=A_{j}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq n$. The application to the Fibonacci numbers $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}}$ (with $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}+1}=\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}}+\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}-1}$ and $\mathrm{F}_{1}=1, \mathrm{~F}_{2}=1$ ) is evident from the formula

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} F_{i}=F_{n+2}-1
$$

so that we find

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{F_{i}} \geq \frac{n^{2}}{F_{n+2}-1}
$$

with equality only for $n=1,2$.
Zeitlin and Desmond used the Arithmetic-Harmonic mean inequality. Brown used the Schwarz inequality.

Further results are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\mathrm{k}=1}^{\mathrm{n}} \frac{1}{\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{k}}} \geq \frac{\mathrm{n}^{2}}{\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}+2}-\mathrm{H}_{2}} \quad, \mathrm{n} \geq 1 \quad \text { (Zeitlin) } \\
& \sum_{\mathrm{k}=1}^{\mathrm{n}} \frac{1}{\mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{k}}^{2}} \geq \frac{\mathrm{n}^{2}}{\mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{n}+1}} \quad, \quad \mathrm{n} \geq 1 \quad \text { (Hoggatt) }
\end{aligned}
$$

Also solved by D. Zeitlin, John L. Brown, Jr., M.N.S. Swamy, D. Lind, C.B.Ā. Peck, and John Wessner.
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