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H-178 Proposed by L. Carlitz, Duke University, Durham, North Carolina.
Put

$$
a_{m, n}=\binom{m+n}{m}^{2}
$$

Show that $a_{m, n}$ satisfies no recurrence of the type

$$
\sum_{j=0}^{r} \sum_{k=0}^{s} c_{j, k} a_{m-j, n-k}=0 \quad(m \geq r, n \geq s)
$$

where the $c_{j, k}$ and $r, s$ are all independent of $m, n$.
Show also that $a_{m, n}$ satisfies no recurrence of the type

$$
\sum_{j=0}^{r} \sum_{k=0}^{n} c_{j, k} a_{m-j, n-k}=0 \quad(m \geq r, n \geq 0)
$$

where the $c_{j, k}$ and $r$ are independent of $m, n$.

H-179 Proposed by D. Singmaster, Bedford College, University of London, London, England.

Let k numbers $\mathrm{p}_{1}, \mathrm{p}_{2}, \cdots, \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{k}}$ be given. Set $\alpha_{\mathrm{n}}=0$ for $\mathrm{n}<0$; $\alpha_{0}=1$ and define $\alpha_{\mathrm{n}}$ by the recursion

$$
\alpha_{n}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} \alpha_{n-i} \quad \text { for } n>0
$$

1. Find simple necessary and sufficient conditions on the $p_{i}$ for

$$
\lim _{\mathrm{n} \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{\mathrm{n}}
$$

to exist and be: (a) finite and nonzero; (b) zero; (c) infinite.
2. Are the conditions: $p_{i} \geq 0$ for $i=1,2, \cdots, p_{i}>0$ and

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}=1
$$

sufficient for $\lim _{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{\infty} \alpha_{\mathrm{n}}$ to exist, be finite, and be nonzero?
H-180 Proposed by L. Carlitz, Duke University, Durham, North Carolina.
Show that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{k}^{3} F_{k}=\sum_{2 k \leq n} \frac{(n+k)!}{(k!)^{3}(n-2 k)!} F_{(2 n-3 k)} \\
& \sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{k}^{3} L_{k}=\sum_{2 k \leq n} \frac{(n+k)!}{(k!)^{3}(n-2 k)!} L_{(2 n-3 k)}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{k}}$ and $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{k}}$ denote the $\mathrm{k}^{\text {th }}$ Fibonacciand Lucas numbers, respectively.

H-156 Proposed by L. Carlitz, Duke University, Durham, North Carolina.

Prove the identity

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{n^{2}} z^{n}}{(q)_{n}} \prod_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(1-q^{k}\right)= \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{n^{2}} z^{n} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{k(k+1)}}{(q)} 2 k \\
& z^{-k} \\
&-\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{n(n+1)} z^{n} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{(k+1)^{2}} \frac{(q)}{(q k+1} z^{-k}}{}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
(q)_{n}=(1-q)\left(1-q^{2}\right) \cdots\left(1-q^{n}\right)
$$

## Solution by the Proposer.

We shall make use of the Euler identity

$$
\prod_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(1-q^{n} z\right)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{n} q^{\frac{1}{2}} n(n-1) z^{n} /(q)_{n}
$$

and the Jacobi identity

$$
\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(1-q^{2 n}\right)\left(1-q^{2 n-1} z\right)\left(1-q^{2 n-1} z^{-1}\right)=\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{n^{2}} z^{n}
$$

Now we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{n^{2}} z^{n}}{q^{n}} \prod_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(1-q^{k}\right)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^{n^{2}} z^{n} \prod_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(1-q^{n+k}\right) \\
& =\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{n^{2}} z^{n} \prod_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(1-q^{n+k}\right) \\
& =\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{n^{2}} z^{n} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{k} q^{\frac{1}{2} k(k+1)+n k} /(q)_{k} \\
& =\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{k} q^{\frac{1}{2} k(k+1)} /(q){ }_{k} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{n^{2}}\left(q^{k} z\right)^{n} \\
& =\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{k} q^{\frac{1}{2} k(k+1)} /(q) k \cdot \prod_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(1-q^{2 n}\right)\left(1+q^{2 n+k-1} z\right)\left(1+q^{2 n-k-1} z^{-1}\right) \\
& =\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(1-q^{2 n}\right) \cdot \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{k(2 k+1)}}{(q)} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(1+q^{2 n+2 k-1} z\right)\left(1+q^{2 n-2 k-1} z\right) \\
& -\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(1-q^{2 n}\right) \cdot \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{(k+1)(2 k+1)}}{(q)} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(1+q^{2 n+2 k}\right)\left(1+q^{2 n-2 k-2} z^{-1}\right) \\
& =\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{n^{2}} z^{n} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{k(2 k+1)}}{(q)_{2 k}} \cdot \frac{\left(1+q^{-2 k+1} z^{-1}\right) \cdots\left(1+q^{-1} z^{-1}\right)}{(1+q z) \cdots\left(1+q^{2 k-1} z\right)} \\
& -\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{n(n+1)} z^{n} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{(k+1)(2 k+1)}}{(q)} 2 k+1 \quad \frac{\left(1+q^{-2 k} z^{-1}\right) \cdots\left(1+q^{-2} z^{-1}\right)}{\left(1+q^{2} z\right) \cdots\left(1+q^{2 k} z\right)} \\
& =\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{n^{2}} z^{n} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{k(k+1)}}{(q)} 2 k \text { } z^{-k}-\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{n(n+1)} z^{n} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{(k+1)^{2}}}{(q)} 2 k+1-
\end{aligned}
$$

H-157 Proposed by M. N. S. Swamy, Nova Scotia Technical College, Halifax, Canada (corrected)

A set of polynomials $c_{n}(x)$, which appears in network theory is defined by

$$
c_{n+1}(x)=(x+2) c_{n}(x)-c_{n-1}(x) \quad(n \geq 1)
$$

with

$$
c_{0}(x)=1 \text { and } c_{1}(x)=(x+2) / 2
$$

(a) Find a polynomial expression for $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{x})$.
(b) Show that

$$
2 c_{n}(x)=b_{n}(x)+b_{n-1}(x)=B_{n}(x)-B_{n-2}(x)
$$

where $B_{n}(x)$ and $b_{n}(x)$ are the Morgan-Voyce polynomials as defined in the Fibonacci Quarterly, Vol. 5, No. 2, p. 167.
(c) Show that $2 \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{n}}^{2}(\mathrm{x})-\mathrm{c}_{2 \mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{x})=1$.
(d) If

$$
\mathrm{Q}=\left[\begin{array}{cr}
(x+2) & -1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

show that

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ll}
c_{n} & -c_{n-1} \\
c_{n-1} & -c_{n-2}
\end{array}\right]=\frac{1}{2}\left(Q^{n}-Q^{n-2}\right) \text { for }(n \geq 2)
$$

Hence deduce that $c_{n+1} c_{n-1}-c_{n}^{2}=x(x+4) / 4$.
Solution by A. G. Law, University of Saskatchewan, Regina, Saskatchewan, Canada.

Let $\left\{\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{x})\right\}$ be the family of polynomials prescribed by the recurrence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}+1}=(\mathrm{x}+2) \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}-\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}-1}, \quad \mathrm{n} \geq 1, \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $y_{0}=1$ and $y_{1}=1+x / 2$. It can be derived, with the aid of [1], that

$$
c_{n}(x)=\frac{4^{n}(n!)^{2}}{(2 n)!} P_{n}^{\left(-\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2}\right)}(1+x / 2), \quad n \geq 1
$$

where $P_{n}^{(-1 / 2,-1 / 2)}$ is the $n^{\text {th }}$-degree Jacobi polynomial. Consequently [3], $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{x})=\cos \mathrm{n} \theta$, where $\cos \theta=1+\mathrm{x} / 2$, for $\mathrm{n} \geq 1$.

A half-angle formula gives immediately that $2 \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{n}}^{2}-\mathrm{c}_{2 \mathrm{n}} \equiv 1, \mathrm{n} \geq 1$. Similarly, each relation

$$
c_{n+1}(x) c_{n-1}(x)-c_{n}^{2}(x)=x(x+4) / 4
$$

is also just a trigonometric identity.
The coupled recurrence

$$
b_{n}=x B_{n-1}+b_{n-1} ; \quad B_{n}=(x+1) B_{n-1}+b_{n-1} \quad(n \geq 1),
$$

where $\mathrm{b}_{0} \equiv \mathrm{~B}_{0} \equiv 1$ shows that

$$
b_{n+1}=(x+2) b_{n}-b_{n-1}
$$

for $\mathrm{n} \geq 1$. Hence,

$$
b_{n+1}=(x+1)\left(b_{n}+b_{n-1}\right)-b_{n-2} ;
$$

that is, $y_{n}=\left(b_{n}+b_{n-1}\right) / 2$ satisfies recurrence (*) and, so,

$$
\left(b_{n}+b_{n-1}\right) / 2 \equiv c_{n}
$$

for $\mathrm{n} \geq 1$. Similarly, $2 \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{n}} \equiv \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{n}}-\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{n}-1}$ for $\mathrm{n} \geq 1$.
Finally, since each $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{x})$ is a known sum (see [2]), $2 \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{n}}+\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{n}-1}$ yields the explicit formula:

$$
c_{n}(x)=x^{n} / 2+\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{n}{n-k}\binom{n+k-1}{n-k-1} x^{k}
$$

for $\mathrm{n} \geq 1$.

1. D. V. Ho, J. W. Jayne and M. B. Sledd, "Recursively Generated StrumLiouville Polynomial Systems," Duke Mathematical Journal, Vol. 33 (1966), pp. 131-140.
2. J. C. Sjoherg, Problem H-69, Fibonacci Quarterly, Vol. 5 (1967), No. 2, pp. 164-165.
3. G. Szego, "Orthogonal Polynomials," American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications, Vol. XXIII (1939).

Also solved by D. Zeitlin, D. V. Jaiswal, M. Yoder, and the Proposer.

## IN THEIR PRIME

H-158 Proposed by M. N. S. Swamy, Nova Scotia Technical College, Halifax, Canada.

If $f_{n}(x)$ be the Fibonacci polynomial as defined in $H-127$, show that
(a) For integral values of $x, f_{n}(x)$ and $f_{n+1}(x)$ are prime to each other.
(b) $\quad\left\{1+\sum_{1}^{n}\left(1 / \mathrm{f}_{2 \mathrm{n}-1} \mathrm{~F}_{2 \mathrm{n}+1}\right)\right\}\left\{1-\mathrm{x}^{2} \sum_{1}^{\mathrm{n}}\left(1 / \mathrm{f}_{2 \mathrm{n}} \mathrm{f}_{2 \mathrm{n}+2}\right)\right\}=1$.

Solution by the Proposer.
(a) It may easily be established by induction that

$$
\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{n}+1}(\mathrm{x}) \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{n}-1}(\mathrm{x})-\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{n}}^{2}(\mathrm{x})=(-1)^{\mathrm{n}}
$$

Hence, for integral values of $x, f_{n}(x)$ and $f_{n+1}(x)$ are prime to each other.
(b) It may also be established by induction that

$$
f_{n+1}(x) f_{n-2}(x)-f_{n}(x) f_{n-1}(x)+(-1)^{n} x=0
$$

Hence,

$$
x \frac{1}{f_{2 n+1} f_{2 n-1}}=\frac{f_{2 n+2}}{f_{2 n+1}}-\frac{f_{2 n}}{f_{2 n-1}}
$$

Thus,

$$
x \sum_{1}^{n} \frac{1}{f_{2 n+1} f_{2 n-1}}=\frac{f_{2 n+2}}{f_{2 n+1}}-\frac{f_{2}}{f_{1}}=\frac{f_{2 n+2}}{f_{2 n+1}}-x
$$

Or,
(2)

$$
1+\sum_{1}^{n} \frac{1}{f_{2 n+1} f_{2 n-1}}=\frac{1}{x} \frac{f_{2 n+2}}{f_{2 n}}
$$

Also, from (1), we have

$$
-x \frac{1}{f_{2 n} f_{2 n+2}}=\frac{f_{2 n+1}}{f_{2 n+2}}-\frac{f_{2 n+1}}{f_{2 n}}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
-x \sum_{1}^{n} \frac{1}{f_{2 n} f_{2 n+2}} & =\frac{f_{2 n+1}}{f_{2 n+2}}-\frac{f_{3}}{f_{2}} \\
& =\frac{x_{2 n+2}+f_{2 n+1}}{f_{2 n+2}}-\frac{f_{3}}{f_{2}} \\
& =\frac{f_{2 n+1}}{f_{2 n+2}}-\frac{x^{2}+1}{x}+x=\frac{f_{2 n+1}}{f_{2 n+2}}-\frac{1}{x}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
1-x^{2} \sum_{1}^{n} \frac{1}{f_{2 n} f_{2 n+2}}=x \frac{f_{2 n+1}}{f_{2 n+2}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence from (2) and (3), we have

$$
\left\{1+\sum_{1}^{n} \frac{1}{f_{2 n+1} f_{2 n-1}}\right\}\left\{1-x^{2} \sum_{1}^{n} \frac{1}{f_{2 n} f_{2 n+2}}\right\}=1 .
$$

Also solved by A. Shannon, M. Yoder, and D. V. Jaiswal.

## HARMONY

H-159 Proposed by Clyde Bridger, Springfield College, Springfield, Illinois.
Let

$$
D_{k}=\frac{c^{k}-d^{k}}{c-d}
$$

and

$$
\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{k}}=\mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{k}}+\mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{k}}
$$

where $c$ and $d$ are the roots of $z^{2}=a z+b$. Consider the four numbers $e$, $f, x, y$, where $e=c^{k}$ and $f=d^{k}$ are the roots of

$$
z^{2}-z E_{k}+(-b)^{k}=0
$$

and y is the harmonic conjugate of x with respect to e and f . Find y when

$$
\mathrm{x}=\frac{\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{nk}+\mathrm{k}}}{\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{nk}}} \quad(\mathrm{k} \neq 0)
$$

Solution by the Proposer.
The condition to be met is

$$
\frac{x-e}{x-f} \cdot \frac{y-f}{y-e}=-1
$$

(See page 69, R. M. Winger, Projective Geometry, Heath, 1923.) This leads directly to

$$
2 x y-E_{k}(x+y)+2(-b)^{k}=0
$$

For the given value of $x$,

$$
y=\frac{E_{k} D_{n k+k}-2(-b)^{k} D_{n k}}{2 D_{n k+k}-E_{k} D_{n k}}
$$

It is easy to verify from the definitions of $D_{k}$ and $E_{k}$ that the numerator reduces to $E_{n k+k} D_{k}$ and that the denominator reduces to $E_{n k} D_{k}$. Hence,

$$
\mathrm{y}=\frac{\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{nk}+\mathrm{k}}}{\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{nk}}}
$$

Note that when $\mathrm{a}=\mathrm{b}=1$, and $\mathrm{k}=1$,

$$
\frac{\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}+1}}{\mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{n}}} \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{n}+1}}{\mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{n}}}
$$

are harmonic conjugates with respect to the roots of $z^{2}=z+1$.

Find the roots and the discriminant of

$$
x^{3}-(-1)^{k_{3}} 3-L_{3 k}=0
$$

Solution by L. Carlitz, Duke University, Durham, North Carolina.
Somewhat more generally, we may consider the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{x}^{3}-3(\alpha \beta)^{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{x}-\left(\alpha^{3 \mathrm{k}}+\beta^{3 \mathrm{k}}\right)=0 \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha, \beta$ are arbitrary. This equation evidently reduces to

$$
x^{3}-3(-1)^{k} x-L_{3 k}=0
$$

where $\alpha, \beta$ are the roots of

$$
z^{2}-z-1=0
$$

Let $\omega, \omega^{2}$ denote the complex cube roots of 1 and put

$$
\mathrm{x}_{1}=\alpha^{\mathrm{k}}+\beta^{\mathrm{k}}, \quad \mathrm{x}_{2}=\omega \alpha^{\mathrm{k}}+\omega^{2} \beta^{\mathrm{k}}, \quad \mathrm{x}_{3}=\omega^{2} \alpha^{\mathrm{k}}+\omega \beta^{\mathrm{k}}
$$

Then it is easily verified that $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}$ are the roots of $(*)$.
By the familiar formula for the discriminant of a cubic, or directly by computing $\left(x_{1},-x_{2}\right)^{2}\left(x_{2}-x_{3}\right)^{2}$, we find that the discriminant is given by

$$
\mathrm{D}=-27\left(\alpha^{3 \mathrm{k}}-\beta^{3 \mathrm{k}}\right)^{2}
$$

For the special case

$$
x^{3}-3(-1)^{k} x-L_{3 k}=0
$$

the roots are $\mathrm{x}_{1}=\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{k}}$ and $\mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}$, where

$$
\mathrm{x}_{2}+\mathrm{x}_{3}=-\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{k}}, \quad \mathrm{x}_{2} \mathrm{x}_{3}=\mathrm{L}_{2 \mathrm{k}}-(-1)^{\mathrm{k}}
$$

The discriminant reduces to

$$
-135 \mathrm{~F}_{3 \mathrm{k}}^{2}
$$

Also solved by M. Yoder, D. Zeitlin, B. King, A. Shannon, and the Proposers.

## BE NEGATIVE

H-162 Proposed by David A. Klarner, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada.

Suppose $a_{i j} \geq 1$ for $i, j=1,2, \cdots$. Show there exists an $x \geq 1$ such that

$$
(-1)^{n}\left|\begin{array}{llll}
a_{11}-x & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1 n} \\
a_{21} & a_{22}-x^{2} & \cdots & a_{2 n} \\
\vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
a_{n 1} & a_{n 2} & \cdots & a_{n n}-x
\end{array}\right| \leq 0
$$

for all n .

Solution by C. B. A. Peck, Ordnance Research Laboratory, State College, Pennsy/vania.
Let $\mathrm{D}(\mathrm{n})$ be the determinant.

$$
D(1)=(-1)^{1}\left|a_{11}-x\right|=x-a_{11} \leq 0
$$

if $\mathrm{x} \leq \mathrm{a}_{11}$. Since $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{a}_{11} \geq 1$, any x satisfying $\mathrm{a}_{11} \geq \mathrm{x} \geq 1$ will do. Suppose $\mathrm{a}_{11}=1$; then $\mathrm{x}=1$ is the only answer for $\mathrm{n}=1$. The statement requires an $x$ for all $n$. Can we reach a contradiction in the case $a_{11}=1$ ? While

$$
\mathrm{D}(2)=-\mathrm{a}_{12} \mathrm{a}_{21} \leq-1<0,
$$

$D(3)=-\left|\begin{array}{lll}0 & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ a_{21} & a_{22}-1 & a_{23} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33}-1\end{array}\right| \xlongequal{2 a_{23}-a_{21}-a_{23} a_{31}-a_{13} a_{21} a_{32}} \begin{array}{r} \\ +a_{13} a_{22} a_{31}-a_{13} a_{31} .\end{array}$

Each term here has the sign preceding it, as all factors are positive. Given $a_{i j}$ with $i \neq j$, we can take $a_{22}$ and/or $a_{33}$ so large that the positive terms dominate, since these factors occur only in positive terms. Thus we reach a contradiction of the inequality for $n=3, a_{11}=1$.
[Continued from page 60.]
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