

SOME SUMMATION FORMULAS*

L. CARLITZ

Duke University, Durham, North Carolina

1. Multiple summation formulas of a rather unusual kind can be obtained in the following way. Let

$$(1.1) \quad f(x) = 1 - a_1x - a_2x^2 - \dots$$

denote a series that converges for small x . Put

$$(1.2) \quad \frac{1}{f(x)} = 1 + b_1x + b_2x^2 + \dots,$$

so that

$$(1.3) \quad \frac{1}{f(x)(1-y)} = \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} b_m x^m y^n \quad (b_0 = 1).$$

Replacing y by $x^{-1}y$, Eq. (1.3) becomes

$$(1.4) \quad \frac{1}{f(x)(1-x^{-1}y)} = \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} b_m x^{m-n} y^n.$$

Let k denote a fixed non-negative integer. Then that part of the right-hand side of (1.4) that contains terms in x^{-k} is evidently

$$(1.5) \quad \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} b_m y^{m+k} = y^k/f(y).$$

* Supported in part by NSF grant GP-7855.

On the other hand, since

$$(1 - a_1x - a_2x^2 - \dots)(1 - x^{-1}y) = (1 + a_1y) - x^{-1}y - (a_1 - a_2y)x - (a_2 - a_3y)x^2 - \dots$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{f(x)(1 - x^{-1}y)} &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{[x^{-1}y + (a_1 - a_2y)x + (a_2 - a_3y)x^2 + \dots]^{n+1}}{(1 + a_1y)^{n+1}} \\ &= \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \sum_{s_j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(r + s_1 + s_2 + \dots)!}{r! s_1! s_2! \dots} \cdot \frac{y^r (a_1 - a_2y)^{s_1} (a_2 - a_3y)^{s_2} \dots}{(1 + a_1y)^{r+s_1+s_2+\dots+1}} \\ &\quad \cdot x^{-r+s_1+2s_2+3s_3+\dots} \end{aligned}$$

The part of the multiple summation on the right that contains terms in x^{-k} is obtained by taking

$$r = k + s_1 + 2s_2 + 3s_3 + \dots$$

Comparison with (1.5) therefore yields the following identity:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{s_j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(k + 2s_1 + 3s_2 + 4s_3 + \dots)!}{s_1! s_2! \dots (k + s_1 + 2s_2 + 3s_3 + \dots)!} \\ \cdot \frac{y^{s_1+2s_2+3s_3+\dots} (a_1 - a_2y)^{s_1} (a_2 - a_3y)^{s_2}}{(1 + a_1y)^{2s_1+3s_2+\dots}} \\ (1.6) \quad = \frac{(1 + a_1y)^{k+1}}{1 - a_1y - a_2y^2 - \dots} \end{aligned}$$

If we take

$$z_j = a_j y^j \quad (j = 1, 2, 3, \dots),$$

(1.6) becomes

$$(1.7) \quad \sum_{s_j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(k+2s_1+3s_2+4s_3+\dots)!}{s_1!s_2!\dots(k+s_1+2s_2+\dots)!} \cdot \frac{(z_1-z_2)^{s_1}(z_2-z_3)^{s_2}\dots}{(1+z_1)^{2s_1+3s_2+\dots}} = \frac{(1+z)^{k+1}}{1-z_1-z_2-\dots}$$

If we now put

$$z_j - z_{j+1} = u_j \quad (j = 1, 2, 3, \dots),$$

so that

$$z_j = u_j + u_{j+1} + u_{j+2} + \dots \quad (j = 1, 2, 3, \dots),$$

we get

$$(1.7) \quad \sum_{s_j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(k+2s_1+3s_2+4s_3+\dots)!}{s_1!s_2!\dots(k+s_1+2s_2+\dots)!} \cdot \frac{u_1^{s_1} u_2^{s_2} \dots}{(1+u_1+u_2+u_3+\dots)^{2s_1+3s_2+\dots}} \\ = \frac{(1+u_1+u_2+\dots)^{k+1}}{1-u_1-2u_2-3u_3-\dots},$$

where

$$u_1 + u_2 + u_3 + \dots$$

is absolutely convergent.

2. There are numerous special cases of the above identities that may be noted. To begin with, we take

$$u_3 = u_4 = \dots = 0.$$

Changing the notation slightly, Eq. (1.7) gives

$$(2.1) \quad \sum_{r,s=0}^{\infty} \frac{(k+2r+3s)!}{r!s!(k+r+2s)!} \cdot \frac{u^r v^s}{(1+u+v)^{2r+3s}} = \frac{(1+u+v)^{k+1}}{1-u-2v}$$

In particular, for $v = 0$, Eq. (2.1) reduces to

$$(2.2) \quad \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(k+2r)!}{r!(k+r)!} \frac{u^r}{(1+u)^{2r}} = \frac{(1+u)^{k+1}}{1-u}$$

This is easily verified for $k = 0$. Indeed,

$$\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \binom{2r}{r} \frac{u^r}{(1+u)^{2r}} = \left\{ 1 - \frac{4u}{(1+u)^2} \right\}^{-\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{1+u}{1-u}$$

in agreement with the special case of (2.2).

If we take all $u_j = 0$ except u_{p-1} , we get

$$(2.3) \quad \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \binom{k+ps}{s} \frac{u^s}{(1+u)^{ps}} = \frac{(1+u)^{k+1}}{1-(p-1)u}$$

Summations like (2.3) are usually obtained by means of the Lagrange-Burmann expansion formula. For example, it is proved [1, p. 126, No. 216] that

$$(2.4) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \binom{\alpha + \beta n}{n} w^n = \frac{(1+z)^\alpha}{1 - \beta w(1+z)^{\beta+1}}$$

where

$$(2.5) \quad w = \frac{z}{(1+z)^\beta}$$

Making use of (2.5), the right member of (2.4) is seen to be equal to

$$\frac{(1+z)^{\alpha+1}}{1-(\beta-1)z},$$

so that (2.4) is in agreement with (2.3).

It should be observed that (2.3) has been proved above only for integral $k \geq 0$, $p \geq 1$. However, since

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \binom{k+ps}{s} \frac{u^s}{(1+u)^{k+ps+1}} &= \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \binom{k+ps}{s} u^s \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} (-1)^r \binom{k+r+ps}{r} u^r \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} u^n \sum_{s=0}^n (-1)^{n-s} \binom{k+ps}{s} \binom{k+n-s+ps}{n-s}, \end{aligned}$$

it follows that (2.3) is equivalent to

$$(2.6) \quad \sum_{s=0}^n (-1)^{n-s} \binom{k+ps}{s} \binom{k+n-s+ps}{n-s} = (p-1)^n.$$

Since (2.6) is a polynomial identity that holds for

$$k = 0, 1, 2, \dots; \quad p = 1, 2, 3, \dots,$$

it therefore holds for arbitrary k, p .

3. The proof that (2.3) holds for arbitrary k, p suggests that (1.7) also holds for arbitrary k . We divide both sides of (1.7) by

$$(1+u+u_2+\dots)^{k+1}.$$

Then since

$$\begin{aligned}
& (1 + u_1 + u_2 + \dots)^{-k-2s_1-3s_2-\dots-1} \\
&= \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} (-1)^r \binom{k+r+2s_1+3s_2+\dots}{r} (u_1 + u_2 + \dots)^r \\
&= \sum_{r_j=0}^{\infty} \binom{k+r+2s_1+3s_2+\dots}{r} \frac{r!}{r_1! r_2! \dots} u_1^{r_1} u_2^{r_2} \dots,
\end{aligned}$$

where $r = r_1 + r_2 + \dots$, it follows that the left member of (1.7) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{r_j=0}^{\infty} (-1)^r \sum_{s_j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(k+2s_1+3s_2+\dots)!}{s_1! s_2! \dots (k+s_1+2s_2+\dots)!} \binom{k+r+2s_1+3s_2+\dots}{r} \frac{r!}{r_1! r_2!} \\
& \quad \cdot u_1^{r_1+s_1} u_2^{r_2+s_2+\dots}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence (1.7) is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{r_j+s_j=n_j}^{\infty} (-1)^r \binom{k+2s_1+3s_2+\dots}{s} \binom{k+r+2s_1+3s_2+\dots}{r} \\
& \quad \cdot \frac{s!}{s_1! s_2! \dots} \cdot \frac{r!}{r_1! r_2! \dots} \\
(3.1) \quad & = \frac{(n_1 + n_2 + \dots)!}{n_1! n_2! \dots} 1^{n_1} 2^{n_2} 3^{n_3},
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$r = r_1 + r_2 + \dots, \quad s = s_1 + s_2 + \dots.$$

Since (3.1) is a polynomial identity in k , it is valid for arbitrary k . Therefore (1.7) is proved for arbitrary k .

4. Another special case of (1.7) that is of some interest is obtained by taking all $u_j = 0$ except u_{p-1} and u_{q-1} . We evidently get

$$(4.1) \quad \sum_{r,s=0}^{\infty} \binom{k+pr+qs}{r+s} \binom{r+s}{r} \frac{u^r v^s}{(1+u+v)^{pr+qs}} = \frac{(1+u+v)^{k+1}}{1-(p-1)u-(q-1)v} \quad (q \neq p).$$

As above, we can assert that (4.1) holds for all k, p, q . This can evidently be extended in an obvious way, thus furnishing extensions of (2.3) involving an arbitrary number of parameters.

We remark that (4.1) is equivalent to

$$(4.2) \quad \sum_{\substack{r+i=m \\ s+j=n}} (-1)^{i+j} \binom{k+pr+qs}{r+s} \binom{k+pr+qs+i+j}{i+j} \binom{r+s}{r} \binom{i+j}{i} \\ = \binom{m+n}{m} (p-1)^m (q-1)^n,$$

which is itself a special case of (3.1).

REFERENCE

1. G. Pólya and G. Szegő, Aufgaben und Lehrsätze aus der Analysis, 1, Berlin, 1925.

◆◆◆◆◆ LETTER TO THE EDITOR

DAVID ZEITLIN
Minneapolis, Minnesota

In the note by W. R. Spickerman, "A Note on Fibonacci Functions," *Fibonacci Quarterly*, October, 1970, pp. 397-401, his Theorem 1, p. 397, states that if $f(x)$ is a Fibonacci function, i. e. ,

$$(1) \quad f(x+2) = f(x+1) + f(x),$$

then $\int f(x)dx$ is also a Fibonacci function. Since $\int f(x)dx = h(x) + C$, where C is the arbitrary constant of integration, the above result assumes that $C = 0$. Thus, a formulation of this result in terms of a definite integral seems apropos.

[Continued on page 40.]